

AI Statement

I can confirm I have not presented any AI generated text as my own work. I have used copilot to create my logo for my idea.

Contents page:

1. Part A – brochure
2. Part B
3. References



Little steps learning kits



Introduction to little steps learning kits

Little Steps Learning Kits are developmentally grounded, ready-to-use educational kits designed for young children aged 2–5. Each kit provides high-quality, Montessori-inspired materials paired with evidence-based guidance that supports early communication, emotional regulation, sensory exploration, and early literacy. My aim is to create a resource that is simple for adults to use, deeply meaningful for children, and fully aligned with the Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS).

The idea emerged from my experience working closely with families and early years practitioners who consistently express the same challenges: limited time, uncertainty about how to support learning at home, and a lack of accessible, trustworthy resources that are both developmentally appropriate and inclusive. Research shows that many commercial children's kits prioritise entertainment over learning and rarely align with statutory frameworks or developmental science (Whitebread 2012; Oates, 2010). Little Steps Learning Kits directly address this gap by providing a resource that is developmentally grounded, inclusive, and purposefully designed to strengthen learning across both home and early years settings.

Why is this idea innovative?

Little Steps Learning Kits stand out because they combine three elements that are rarely brought together in mainstream early years products:

1. EYFS mapped activities that clearly link to communication and language, social and emotional regulations, literacy, and physical development.
2. Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles that ensure accessibility for all children, including those with ALN (CAST, 2024).
3. Evidence-based developmental explanations that help adults understand why each activity matters.

Most commercial kits do not embed developmental theory, do not offer structured guidance for parents, and are not designed with inclusivity in mind (Goodall, 2023). By contrast, Little Steps Learning Kits provide clear, research-informed instructions that build adult confidence and ensure every activity has a meaningful purpose.

The kits also use a natural, calming colours which research suggests reduces overstimulation and supports children's focus and emotional regulation. This visual identity differentiates the product from the bright, plastic-heavy kits commonly found in supermarkets and mass-market retailers (Mintel, 2023).

The benefits

Little Steps Learning Kits provide a wide range of early developmental benefits by supporting communication, emotional regulation, early literacy, and fine motor skills through thoughtfully designed activities. The kits also build parent confidence by offering clear explanations of each activity's purpose, making home learning easier and more meaningful. Because they follow Universal Design for Learning principles, the activities can be adapted for diverse needs, ensuring they are inclusive and suitable for both home environments and early years settings.

The challenges and barriers

While the concept for Little Steps Learning Kits is strong, there are several challenges that I will explore more critically in Part B. All materials must meet the Toy Safety Regulations 2011, including UKCA/CE marking, choking-hazard assessments, and material testing to ensure full safety and compliance (Gov.UK, 2023). Production costs also present a barrier, as high-quality, natural materials are more expensive than mass-produced plastic alternatives. In addition, although many competing kits lack developmental depth, they are often cheaper and heavily marketed, creating a competitive landscape. Designing, testing, and refining activities requires significant time, professional expertise, and ongoing evaluation, and building trust and visibility in a crowded online market demands strategic branding and consistent communication. Acknowledging these challenges strengthens the credibility of the business plan and demonstrates realistic, thoughtful planning.

What type of audience will this target?

Little Steps Learning Kits are designed for a wide range of learners throughout the early years stage. Children are the primary beneficiaries, receiving developmentally appropriate, engaging, and accessible learning experiences. Parents and carers will gain ready-to-use activities and have clear guidance on how to complete each activity. Parents will also be reassured that the resources are grounded in developmental science. Early years practitioners, including nurseries, childminders, and family hubs, can use the kits for small-group work, targeted interventions, or parent-engagement sessions. Local networks such as libraries, health visitors, and community hubs can also signpost families to the kits, supporting early intervention and home learning. This broad stakeholder base increases the product's reach,

Why I Am Best Placed to Deliver This

I am well positioned to develop Little Steps Learning Kits due to my professional background in early childhood development, ALN, inclusive pedagogy, and the EYFS. My expertise allows me to translate complex developmental theory into practical, accessible activities that families and practitioners can use confidently. I also have experience supporting staff development, designing learning resources, and ensuring compliance with safety and regulatory frameworks. This combination of knowledge, practical experience, and commitment to evidence-based practice ensures that the kits are both high-quality and developmentally meaningful.

Little Steps Learning Kits offer a unique, research-informed solution to the growing need for high-quality, accessible early years resources. By combining Montessori-inspired materials, EYFS alignment, UDL-informed design, and clear developmental guidance, the kits provide meaningful support for children's communication, emotional regulation, and early literacy. With strong demand for home-learning tools and a clear gap in the market for evidence-based, inclusive products, Little Steps Learning Kits are well positioned to make a positive impact on families and early years settings.

Part B

Little Steps Learning Kits are positioned as an evidence-based, inclusive resource shaped by current research, early years policy, and developmental theory. They respond directly to the significant shifts in the early years sector following the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly the rise in communication delays, emotional regulation difficulties, and widening developmental gaps identified by Ofsted (2022). These concerns echo wider findings that early childhood development has been disproportionately affected by disrupted routines, reduced social interaction, and inconsistent access to high-quality learning experiences (UNICEF, 2021). As families and practitioners increasingly seek flexible, research-informed tools to support children's learning across home and setting environments, the kits offer a structured approach that aligns with these emerging needs.

The Best Start for Life (2023) vision strengthens the case for Little Steps Learning Kits by emphasising the need for accessible, evidence-based support for families during the first 1,001 days. The review highlights that early development is most effectively supported when families can access clear guidance, practical resources, and joined-up services through community-based pathways such as Family Hubs (Department of health and social care, 2021). These hubs aim to bring together health visitors, early years practitioners, and community organisations, ensuring families receive consistent, relationship-centred support and high-quality early learning information (HM Government, 2021). Little Steps Learning Kits align closely as the kits provide developmentally informed activities that can be shared across home and setting environments, strengthen parental confidence, and offer a practical tool that professionals can use during early intervention or parent-engagement work. Positioned within Family Hubs or similar community networks, the kits could help ensure that families, particularly those who may not otherwise access early learning resources, receive timely, coherent support during the most formative period of children's development.

Nutbrown, (2012) highlights the importance of early communication and language as it is a foundation to later learning, social development, and academic achievement, similarly, Snow and Matthews (2016) emphasise that early language experiences shape long term educational outcomes. The Early Years Foundation Stage (EFYS) (DfE, 2024) identifies communication and language as a prime area of learning and stresses the need for rich interactions, vocabulary exposure, and opportunities for children to express themselves. Little Steps Learning Kits incorporate these principles through storytelling prompts, conversation starters, and vocabulary-rich play materials. These activities also reflect Vygotsky's (1978) perspective on language as a socially mediated tool for learning, supporting children's expressive and receptive language development through guided interaction.

Emotional regulation is another key developmental area addressed by the kits. Shanker (2016) argues that the early years are a critical period for developing self-regulation, co-regulation, and emotional literacy, emphasising the role of supportive adult–child interactions in helping children manage stress and emotions. However, Denham (1998) places greater emphasis on the social and relational aspects of emotional development, highlighting the importance of peer interaction and emotional coaching within group settings. The EYFS (DfE, 2024) reinforces these perspectives by stressing the need for practitioners to help children understand and manage their feelings, develop resilience, and build positive relationships. The inclusion of emotion-themed tools, visual supports, and calming strategies within the kits aligns with research showing that young children benefit from explicit support in recognising and managing emotions, as demonstrated by Cole et al. (2019). These resources also support practitioners in meeting statutory requirements around personal, social, and emotional development (PSED).

Fine motor development is also supported through threading, sorting, and sensory exploration, which contribute to early writing readiness and hand-eye coordination (Cameron *et al.*, 2012). These activities align with the EYFS emphasis on physical development as a prime area of learning, supporting children's dexterity, coordination, and control.

The kits also benefit parents by providing clear explanations of the developmental purpose behind each activity. Goodall (2023) argues that parents often lack confidence in supporting learning at home and that accessible guidance can significantly strengthen parental engagement. Desforges and Abouchaar (2017) similarly highlight that parental involvement is one of the most influential factors in children's early learning outcomes, particularly when parents understand *why* activities matter. The Education Endowment Foundation (EEF, 2021) further emphasises that structured home-learning resources can improve parental confidence and consistency, especially when they include clear, practical instructions. By offering simple, ready-to-use activities with developmental links, the kits help bridge the gap between home and setting, supporting continuity of learning and strengthening the home–setting partnership. This aligns with research by Sylva et al. (2004), who found that strong home–setting relationships contribute to improved cognitive and social outcomes in the early years.

The design of Little Steps Learning Kits aligns with several key frameworks and policy documents. The EYFS statutory framework (DfE, 2024) emphasises the importance of inclusive, developmentally appropriate practice and highlights the need for environments that support communication, emotional wellbeing, and early literacy. The kits provide practical tools that practitioners can use to meet these requirements, particularly in small-group interventions or targeted support.

The kits also reflect principles of Universal Design for Learning (UDL), which advocate for flexible, accessible learning experiences that meet the needs of diverse

learners, as outlined by CAST, (2024). UDL emphasises multiple means of engagement, representation, and expression, ensuring that learning is accessible to all children, including those with additional learning needs. Rao, Ok and Bryant (2014) argues that UDL principles are rarely embedded in mainstream early years products unless intentionally designed, highlighting the innovative nature of the kits. However, Katz (2024) critiques UDL for being conceptually broad and difficult to operationalise in practice, noting that practitioners often struggle to translate its principles into concrete, usable resources. Similarly, Bracken and Novak (2019) caution that UDL can be inconsistently applied without sustained professional development, suggesting that high-quality materials alone may not guarantee inclusive practice. Their adaptability makes the kits suitable for children with ALN, aligning with the Additional Learning Needs Code (Welsh Government, 2021), which emphasises inclusive, child-centred approaches and the importance of removing barriers to participation. Florian and Black-Hawkins (2011) argue that inclusion requires more than adaptable materials as it depends on practitioner beliefs, relational pedagogy, and the wider learning environment, indicating that resources such as these kits must be embedded within a broader culture of inclusive practice.

The use of natural, calming materials within the kits aligns with research on sensory regulation and the impact of overstimulation on young children. Montessori-inspired environments, which prioritise natural materials and muted colours, have been shown to support focus, independence, and emotional regulation (Lillard, 2017). This aesthetic also differentiates the kits from mass-market products, which often rely on bright colours and plastic materials that can contribute to sensory overload (Kostyrka-Allchorne *et al.*, 2019).

Despite the strengths, several challenges must be considered when evaluating the feasibility and impact of Little Steps Learning Kits. One significant barrier is safety and compliance. Under the Toy Safety Regulations 2011, any item handled by a child is legally classed as a toy and must meet strict safety standards, including UKCA/CE marking, choking-hazard assessments, and material testing (Gov.UK, 2023). Ensuring compliance is essential but can be costly and time-consuming, particularly for small businesses. Elfer, Goldschmied and Selleck (2012) emphasise that families and practitioners place high value on safety and durability, meaning that compliance is not only a legal requirement but also a key factor in building trust.

Production costs also present a challenge. High-quality, natural materials are more expensive than mass-produced plastic alternatives, which may affect pricing and profit margins. Mintel (2023) reports that although parents increasingly value sustainable, durable materials, affordability remains a key purchasing factor in the early years market. This creates a tension between maintaining quality and ensuring accessibility. Siraj - Blatchford (2016) argue that sustainable materials often carry a "green premium," making them less accessible to lower-income families and potentially widening inequalities in access to high-quality learning resources. Similarly, the British Toy and Hobby Association (BTHA, 2021) notes that rising

manufacturing and safety-testing costs disproportionately affect small producers, who lack the economies of scale available to large toy companies. In contrast, Elfer, Goldschmied and Selleck (2012) highlight that families and practitioners place high value on durability and sensory quality, suggesting that higher-cost materials may be justified if they enhance learning experiences. However, OECD (2024) cautions that early year's resources must remain financially accessible to avoid excluding families who already face barriers to participation. These competing perspectives illustrate the complex balance between sustainability, quality, and affordability in the design of early years products.

Market competition is another factor. Although many commercial kits lack developmental depth, they are often cheaper, widely advertised, and easily accessible through large online retailers. Whitebread (2012) note that many commercial activity kits prioritise entertainment over learning, yet their lower cost and strong branding make them appealing to families. Marsh *et al.* (2018) similarly argue that the children's resource market is increasingly shaped by digital marketing and consumer trends rather than pedagogical value, which can lead parents to select products based on visibility rather than developmental quality. In contrast, Wood (2022) highlights that some commercial kits incorporate elements of play-based learning but often lack the theoretical grounding needed to support sustained developmental progress. Strong branding is therefore essential for differentiating evidence-based products. Stachowski (2011) caution that educational claims in marketing are frequently unregulated, meaning high-quality products must work harder to establish credibility. Differentiating the product through evidence-based design and clear developmental guidance is therefore essential, but this requires strong branding and consistent communication. This challenge is reinforced by PWC (2023), who note that small educational brands often struggle to compete with large companies that dominate online marketplaces through scale, advertising budgets, and algorithmic visibility.

Time investment emerges as a significant challenge because creating high-quality early years resources requires sustained expertise and iterative refinement. McLachlan, Fleeer and Edwards. (2018) emphasise that effective materials must be grounded in strong pedagogical knowledge and ongoing assessment, which demands considerable practitioner time and skill. Siraj-Blatchford *et al.* (2002) similarly argues that meaningful early learning experiences depend on informed decision-making and continuous professional reflection, making rushed or superficial resource development inadequate. This becomes even more important when designing for diverse learners and aligning activities with UDL principles.

Visibility in a crowded online market can be difficult to achieve. Research shows that parents increasingly rely on digital platforms when purchasing educational resources (Ofcom, 2023), but standing out requires strategic marketing, clear messaging, and trust-building through evidence-based content. PWC (2023) highlights that

subscription-based educational products perform best when supported by strong digital branding and personalised customer engagement.

Despite these challenges, Little Steps Learning Kits have strong potential for future development. The concept could be expanded into themed kits, digital companion resources, or training materials for practitioners. Pascal and Bertram (2017) argue that multi-agency collaboration is central to effective early years provision, highlighting the value of partnerships with nurseries, family hubs, libraries, and health visitors, who play a key role in signposting families to early learning resources. Kingston (2015) similarly emphasise that community-based partnerships strengthen early intervention by ensuring families receive consistent, accessible support across different services. The Early Intervention Foundation (EIF, 2018) notes that high-quality, evidence-based resources are most effective when embedded within wider community networks, suggesting that the kits could contribute meaningfully to local early years.

The kits have the flexibility to be used within individual early years settings or scaled up for wider national distribution through online and community networks. Evidence from the Education Endowment Foundation (2021) and Sylva et al. (2004) suggests that high-quality early intervention tools can improve outcomes in areas such as communication, emotional regulation, and early literacy when implemented consistently across home and setting environments. However, Melhuish (2016) notes that the success of such interventions depends heavily on practitioner training, parental engagement, and the quality of implementation, meaning that wider roll-out requires careful planning. The OECD (2024) adds that scalable early year's innovations must be adaptable to diverse socioeconomic contexts to be effective. Further research is therefore needed to evaluate the impact of the kits and ensure they are inclusive, accessible, and developmentally beneficial, echoing Nutbrown and Clough's (2014) argument that early year's resources must be assessed for both developmental impact and accessibility.

Little Steps Learning Kits provide a concise, research-aligned way to support early learning across home and setting environments. By drawing on the EYFS, UDL principles, and current developmental evidence, they address key priorities while strengthening parental and practitioner confidence. With continued refinement, the kits could make a meaningful contribution to the early years sector at a time when accessible, high-quality resources are increasingly needed.

References

Bracken, S. and Novak, K. (2019). *Transforming Higher Education Through Universal Design for Learning*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351132077>.

British toy and hobby association (2021). *Home*. [online] BTHA. Available at: <https://www.btha.co.uk/>.

Cameron, C.E., Brock, L.L., Murrah, W.M., Bell, L.H., Worzalla, S.L., Grissmer, D. and Morrison, F.J. (2012). Fine Motor Skills and Executive Function Both Contribute to Kindergarten Achievement. *Child Development*, [online] 83(4), pp.1229–1244. Available at: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23255690> [Accessed 26 Feb. 2026].

CAST (2024). *Universal Design for Learning*. [online] CAST. Available at: <https://www.cast.org/what-we-do/universal-design-for-learning/> [Accessed 18 Feb. 2026].

Cole, P.M., Ashana Ramsook, K. and Ram, N. (2019) 'Emotion dysregulation as a dynamic process', *Development and Psychopathology*, 31(3), pp. 1191–1201. <https://doi:10.1017/S0954579419000695>.

Denham, S.A. (1998). *Emotional Development in Young Children*. [online] Google Books. Guilford Press. Available at: https://books.google.co.uk/books/about/Emotional_Development_in_Young_Children.html?id=eNZxMsUCoewC&redir_esc=y.

Department for Education (DfE). (2021). *Statutory Framework for the Early Years Foundation Stage*.

Department of Health and Social Care (2021). *The Best Start for Life A Vision for the 1,001 Critical Days The Early Years Healthy Development Review Report*. [online] Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/605c5e61d3bf7f2f0d94183a/The_best_start_for_life_a_vision_for_the_1_001_critical_days.pdf.

Desforges, C. & Abouchar, A. (2017). *The impact of parental involvement, parental support and family education on pupil achievement and adjustment - Relational Schools*. [online] Relational Schools. Available at: <https://relationalschools.org/2017/08/12/desforges-c-abouchar-a-2003-the-impact-of-parental-involvement-parental-support-and-family-education-on-pupil-achievement-and-adjustment/>.

Early Intervention Foundation (2018). *Realising the potential of early intervention*. [online] Early Intervention Foundation. Available at: <https://www.eif.org.uk/report/realising-the-potential-of-early-intervention>.

- Education Endowment Foundation (EEF). (2021). *Early Years Evidence Review*.
- Elfer, P., Goldschmied, E. and Selleck, D. (2012). *Key Persons in the Early Years*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203804711>.
- Florian, L. and Black-Hawkins, K. (2011). Exploring Inclusive Pedagogy. *British Educational Research Journal*, [online] 37(5), pp.813–828. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01411926.2010.501096>.
- Goodall, J. (2023). *Parental involvement to parental engagement: A continuum*. [online] Google.com. Available at: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=mYcsOV0AAAJ&citation_for_view=mYcsOV0AAAAAJ:5nxA0vEk-isC [Accessed 18 Feb. 2026].
- GOV.UK (2023). *Family Hubs and Start for Life programme*. [online] GOV.UK. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/family-hubs-and-start-for-life-programme> [Accessed 26 Feb. 2026].
- Gov.UK (2023). *Toys (Safety) Regulations 2011: Great Britain*. [online] GOV.UK. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/toys-safety-regulations-2011/toys-safety-regulations-2011-great-britain> [Accessed 19 Feb. 2026].
- HM Government (2021). *The Best Start for Life A Vision for the 1,001 Critical Days The Early Years Healthy Development Review Report*. [online] Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/605c5e61d3bf7f2f0d94183a/The_best_start_for_life_a_vision_for_the_1_001_critical_days.pdf.
- Katz, J. (2024). The Three Block Model of Universal Design for Learning (UDL): Engaging students in inclusive education on JSTOR. *Jstor.org*. [online] <https://doi.org/10.2307/canajeducrevucan.36.1.153>.
- Kingston, D. (2015). *An Independent Review of the Scottish Early Learning and Childcare (ELC) Workforce and Out of School Care (OSC) Workforce Professor Iram Siraj -i*. [online] Available at: <https://dera.ioe.ac.uk/id/eprint/23083/1/00477419.pdf> [Accessed 26 Feb. 2026].
- Kostyrka-Allchorne, K., Cooper, N. R., Simpson, A (2017) The relationship between television exposure and children's cognition and behaviour: A systematic review. *Developmental review*. [Online] 4419–58.
- Lillard, A. (2017). *Montessori*. [online] Google Books. Available at: https://books.google.co.uk/books/about/Montessori.html?id=eq1JDwAAQBAJ&redir_esc=y [Accessed 26 Feb. 2026].
- Marsh, J., Plowman, L., Yamada-Rice, D., Bishop, J. and Scott, F. (2016). Digital play: a new classification. *Early Years*, [online] 36(3), pp.242–253. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09575146.2016.1167675>.

McLachlan, C., Fleeer, M. and Edwards, S. (2018). Early Childhood Curriculum. *Early Childhood Curriculum: Planning, Assessment and Implementation*.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108131810>.

Melhuish, E. (2016). Longitudinal research and early years policy development in the UK. *International Journal of Child Care and Education Policy*, 10(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40723-016-0019-1>.

Mintel (2023). *Mintel Reports | Mintel*. [online] www.mintel.com. Available at:
<https://www.mintel.com/products/reports/> [Accessed 26 Feb. 2026].

Nutbrown, C. (2012). *Foundations for Quality: The independent review of early education and childcare qualifications Final Report Nutbrown Review*. [online] Available at:
<https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a74af28ed915d0e8e39a315/Nutbrown-Review.pdf> [Accessed 19 Feb. 2026].

Nutbrown, C. and Clough, P. (2014) Early Childhood Education. 2nd edn. SAGE Publications. Available at: <https://www.perlego.com/book/860993/early-childhood-education-history-philosophy-and-experience-pdf> (Accessed: 23 February 2026).

Oates, J (2010). *Supporting Parenting*. Early Childhood in Focus, 5th edn. Milton Keynes: The Open University.

OECD (2024). *Starting Strong VI*. [online] OECD. Available at:
https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/starting-strong-vi_f47a06ae-en.html [Accessed 26 Feb. 2026].

OFCOM (2023). *Online Nation 2023 Report*. [online] Available at:
<https://www.ofcom.org.uk/siteassets/resources/documents/research-and-data/online-research/online-nation/2023/online-nation-2023-report.pdf?v=368355>.

Ofsted (2022). *Education recovery in early years providers: summer 2022*. [online] GOV.UK. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/education-recovery-in-early-years-providers-summer-2022> [Accessed 19 Feb. 2026].

Pascal, C. and Bertram, T. (2017). *Effective Early Learning*. [online] SAGE Publications Inc. Available at: <https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/effective-early-learning/book19149> [Accessed 18 Feb. 2026].

PWC (2023). *Global Consumer Insights Pulse Survey*. [online] PWC. Available at:
<https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/industries/consumer-markets/consumer-insights-survey.html> [Accessed 14 Feb. 2026].

Rao, K., Ok, M.W. and Bryant, B.R. (2014). A Review of Research on Universal Design Educational Models. *Remedial and Special Education*, 35(3), pp.153–166.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0741932513518980>.

Shanker, S. (2016). *Self-reg : how to help your child (and you) break the stress cycle and successfully engage with life* : Shanker, Stuart, author : Free Download, Borrow, and Streaming : Internet Archive. [online] Internet Archive. Available at: <https://archive.org/details/selfreghowtohelp0000shan> [Accessed 26 Feb. 2026].

Siraj-Blatchford, I., Sylva, K., Muttock, S., Gilden, R. and Bell, D. (2002). *Researching Effective Pedagogy in the Early Years RESEARCH*. [online] Available at: <https://dera.ioe.ac.uk/id/eprint/4650/1/RR356.pdf>[Accessed 26 Feb. 2026].

Siraj-Blatchford, J. (2016). Early Childhood Education for Sustainable Development in the UK. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42208-4_11.

Snow, C.E. and Matthews, T.J. (2016). Reading and Language in the Early Grades. *The Future of Children*, 26(2), pp.57–74. <https://doi.org/10.1353/foc.2016.0012>.

Stachowski, C.A. (2011). Educational Marketing: A Review and Implications for Supporting Practice in Tertiary Education. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 39(2), pp.186–204. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143210390056>.

Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Sammons, P., Siraj-Blatchford, I. and Taggart, B. (2004). *The Effective Provision of Pre-School Education (EPPE) Project: Findings from Pre-school to end of Key Stage1*. [online] Available at: <https://dera.ioe.ac.uk/id/eprint/18189/2/SSU-SF-2004-01.pdf> [Accessed 26 Feb. 2026].

UNICEF (2021) *The State of the World's Children 2021: On My Mind – Promoting, Protecting and Caring for Children's Mental Health*. New York: UNICEF.

Vygotsky, L.S. (1978). *Mind in Society: Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. *Mind in Society: Development of Higher Psychological Processes*, [online] 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvjf9vz4>.

Welsh Government (2021) *Additional Learning Needs Code*. Cardiff: Welsh Government

Whitebread, D. (2012). *The Importance of Play*. [online] Available at: <https://www.csap.cam.ac.uk/media/uploads/files/1/david-whitebread---importance-of-play-report.pdf> [Accessed 26 Feb. 2026].

Wood, E.A. (2022). Play and learning in early childhood education: tensions and challenges. *Child Studies*, 1(1), pp.15–26. <https://doi.org/10.21814/childstudies.4124>.

.