

Introduction

Through section 1 one will look to research the landscape of early childhood education which has undergone a transformative shift with the rise of play-based curricula. In this analysis, one will critically examine the implementation of play-based approaches, considering education reform and scrutinizing evaluative research evidence and reports. Triangulating findings aiming to uncover both the successes and challenges inherent in adopting play-based methodologies.

Transitioning to Section 2, the focus narrows to the 'Unique child' within play-based curricula. Here, one will critically evaluate assessment methods, progress tracking, and age or stage-related development models. This exploration delves into the effectiveness and limitations of these tools, providing a varied perspective on their impact on a child's unique developmental journey.

In Section 3, pivoting to the professional domain, crafting a blog tailored for publication. This blog navigates the complexities of implementing play-based curricula, offering practical insights for educators, policymakers, and stakeholders. Striking a balance between advocacy and critique, the aim is to provide a varied perspective on the dynamic landscape of early childhood education.

Section 1

The incorporation of play-based early childhood curricula has gained prominence in the debate surrounding education today, indicating a paradigm shift in favour of child-centric pedagogical approaches. This critical examination aims to scrutinize the implementation of play-based early years curricula by synthesizing evaluative research evidence and reports. With a focus on education reform, this analysis will explore the existing evidence base, critique its implications, and navigate the challenges within the purview of education reform, drawing insights from the Welsh Government. (2014). Independent Stocktake of the Foundation Phase in Wales and the (Donaldson, 2015). report.

Education reform has become synonymous with transformative shifts in pedagogical methodologies, wherein the integration of play-based curricula is positioned as a cornerstone. The Welsh Government. (2014). Independent Stocktake of the Foundation Phase in Wales, a fundamental component of educational scrutiny,

underscores the imperative for adaptive and developmentally suitable curricula that accommodate the diversity of individual learning styles (Smith et al., 2022; Fleeer, 2018; Slavin, 2018). However, counterclaims exist, with critics arguing that the emphasis on play may compromise academic rigor and achievement (Miller & Almon, 2019). This divergence necessitates a triangulation of research evidence, emphasizing the importance of differential exploration.

Upon conducting a thorough analysis of the data supporting play-based early childhood curriculum, numerous studies are found to demonstrate the diverse advantages of play for children's growth and development such as, Jones and Reynolds (2021) whom emphasize that play acts as a dynamic medium for cognitive growth, fostering skills such as problem-solving, creativity, and language acquisition similarly Hirsh-Pasek et al. (2022) contributes to this narrative by stressing the social and emotional dimensions of play, asserting that it serves as a crucial arena for the development of interpersonal skills, emotional regulation, and empathy. Additionally, Bodrova and Leong (2017) underscore the importance of play in enhancing executive functions, such as working memory and self-control in line with Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). thesis, intellectual growth is a social process that happens via social contact.

While these studies offer compelling support for play-based curricula, a critical stance necessitates an exploration of potential limitations and counterarguments. One prominent critique revolves around the perceived trade-off between play and academic rigor. Miller and Almon (2019) argue that an overemphasis on play may divert valuable instructional time away from explicit academic instruction, potentially compromising educational outcomes in the long term. This counterclaim urges educators and policymakers to strike a delicate balance between the benefits of play and the academic demands of early education. Moreover, Karpov and Evans (2020) contribute to the discourse by challenging the longevity of the benefits attributed to play-based approaches, they question whether the advantages observed in early childhood persist throughout a child's educational journey, particularly in terms of standardized testing outcomes and academic achievement. This viewpoint prompts a consideration of the long-term impact of play-based curricula on children's academic trajectories, inviting researchers to delve into longitudinal studies for more comprehensive insights.

A closer examination of the evidence also necessitates an exploration of contextual factors influencing the effectiveness of play-based approaches. Berk (2021) raises a crucial point regarding the level of structure within play-based activities. While acknowledging the importance of child-initiated play, Berk argues that a certain degree of intentional guidance and scaffolding from educators is essential for optimizing learning outcomes (Berk, 2021). This dispute underscores the need for a nuanced understanding of instructional methodologies within play-based frameworks, urging educators to carefully navigate the spectrum between unstructured play and purposeful guidance.

Considering the diverse contexts and methodologies employed in studies evaluating play-based curricula, each study provides a piece of the puzzle, and a holistic understanding emerges when findings from various research paradigms are synthesized. This approach enhances the credibility of the evidence base and allows for a more thoughtful criticism, acknowledging both the strengths and potential limitations of play-based early years curricula. Researchers emphasize the importance of contextualizing the findings within specific educational settings, recognizing that the effectiveness of play-based approaches may vary depending on cultural, socio-economic, and institutional factors (Pyle et al., 2020; Siraj-Blatchford et al., 2015).

As the discourse continues, it becomes evident that the effectiveness of play-based approaches is contingent on a delicate interplay of factors. The quality of play experiences, including the provision of materials and the design of play spaces, significantly impacts the learning outcomes (Howard et al., 2017). Instructional strategies, as highlighted by Berk (2021), play a crucial role in mediating the learning experiences derived from play. A balance between child-initiated exploration and intentional educator guidance is essential to create an environment that maximizes the educational potential of play (Hirsh-Pasek et al., 2022).

Moreover, the broader educational context plays a pivotal role in shaping the success of play-based approaches. Policy frameworks, curriculum guidelines, and support structures for educators influence the implementation of play-based curricula (Wood & Bennett, 2019). The recognition of play as a legitimate and valuable pedagogical approach within educational policies contributes to the sustainability and

scalability of play-based initiatives (White & Pino-Pasternak, 2019). The successful implementation of play-based curricula pivots on the orchestration of a conducive environment, adept educators, and purposeful planning. The Donaldson, G. (2015). Independent report accentuates the pivotal role of skilled practitioners in orchestrating play that is simultaneously enjoyable and pedagogically enriching (Donaldson, 2020; Whitebread et al., 2021). Striking a balance between child-initiated and adult-guided activities emerges as a key strategy in fostering a dynamic and engaging learning atmosphere (Saracho & Spodek, 2021; Wood & Attfield, 2020). However, disputes arise regarding the level of structure necessary within play-based activities, with some advocating for more explicit instructional strategies (Berk, 2021). This demonstrates how important it is to have a sophisticated grasp of teaching strategies used in play-based frameworks.

Despite the compelling advantages, the implementation of play-based curricula confronts persistent challenges. Resistance to change within entrenched educational systems, scepticism regarding the academic rigor of play-based approaches among educators and policymakers, and tensions with standardized testing and accountability measures constitute significant hurdles (Bassok & Rorem, 2020; Stipek, 2019; Bergen & Mauer, 2017). Counterarguments assert that the emphasis on play may inadvertently perpetuate socio-economic disparities in learning outcomes (Friedman-Krauss et al., 2018). This contentious issue underscores the need for a balanced approach that considers both the potential benefits and unintended consequences of play-based education.

Within the overarching framework of education reform, the Welsh Government. (2014). Independent Stocktake of the Foundation Phase in Wales assumes a pivotal role in evaluating the transformative impact of curricular changes. (Donaldson, 2015). report advocates for a holistic and child-centric approach, underscoring the pivotal role of play in supporting children's well-being and readiness for subsequent learning endeavours (Donaldson, 2020; White & Pino-Pasternak, 2019). Moreover, the report highlights the necessity of continuous professional development for educators to adeptly navigate the implementation of play-based curricula, aligning with contemporary educational imperatives (Jones & White, 2023; Pellegrini & Smith, 2022). Counterclaims challenge the practical feasibility of widespread professional development, citing resource constraints and the need for a more gradual transition

(Dockett & Perry, 2019). This dispute emphasizes the importance of pragmatic considerations in translating policy recommendations into actionable strategies.

Zooming in on the specific aspect of professional development for educators reveals itself as a linchpin in the successful implementation of play-based early years curricula. Recent scholarly contributions by Pianta and Hamre (2022) support a positive correlation between teacher training in play-based methodologies and heightened child outcomes. However, the sector grapples with formidable challenges, encompassing constraints in time allocation and resource provisioning for comprehensive professional development endeavours (Rushton et al., 2021; Broström & Bünger, 2018). However, (Bennett, 2019) counter argues that the emphasis on professional development may divert resources from other critical educational needs. This ongoing discourse emphasizes the need for judicious resource allocation and a systemic understanding of the educational landscape.

To summarise, the dynamic implementation of play-based early years curricula encapsulates a multifaceted landscape replete with challenges and promises. While evidence substantiates the manifold benefits of play, the nuanced interplay of factors in successful implementation demands scholarly scrutiny. Welsh Government. (2014). Independent Stocktake of the Foundation Phase in Wales and the (Donaldson, 2015). report offer crucial insights within the broader ambit of education reform, emphasizing the need for adaptability and continuous professional development. In navigating the challenges and opportunities, a steadfast commitment to evidence-based practices, research triangulation, and ongoing evaluation stands paramount for the refinement and optimization of play-based early years education.

Section 2

The Welsh curriculum places paramount importance on recognizing and celebrating the uniqueness of each child, emphasizing a holistic and child-centric approach to learning (Donaldson, 2015). In the context of play-based curricula, the understanding of the 'Unique Child' necessitates a comprehensive exploration and critical evaluation of assessment methods, progress tracking, and age or stage-related development models. This analysis seeks to delve deeper into what works

effectively, what does not, and the nuanced considerations within the Welsh educational framework, aiming to provide insights for educators and policy makers.

Assessment methods in play-based curricula are pivotal in capturing the multi-faceted dimensions of a child's development. Observational assessments, considered a cornerstone, align seamlessly with the Welsh curriculum's commitment to holistic child development (Welsh Government, 2015). Fler and Pramling Samuelsson (2018) accentuate the richness of observational assessments in capturing the diverse cognitive, social, and emotional aspects of a child's development during play. Observations in authentic contexts offer an in-depth understanding of a child's unique strengths and challenges. However, counterclaims, notably from Perry, Dockett, and Petriwskyj (2018), question the subjectivity inherent in observational methods, emphasizing the potential for bias in interpretation. This necessitates a critical examination of the reliability and validity of observational assessments to ensure that they genuinely capture the essence of the 'Unique Child' within the Welsh context.

Progress tracking is integral to understanding the developmental trajectory of each child within play-based curricula, aligning with the Welsh curriculum's narrative approach to learning (Donaldson, 2015). Narrative assessments, as advocated by Drury and Wood (2018), construct a holistic story of a child's progress, acknowledging the multifaceted nature of their development. Such assessments provide a rich tapestry of a child's unique abilities and learning journey. However, concerns emerge about the standardization and comparability of narrative assessments, as discussed by Miller, Almon, and Shea (2019). Balancing the narrative richness with the need for consistent progress tracking across diverse educational settings is a crucial consideration within the Welsh context, where flexibility and responsiveness are emphasized. More over Traditional summative assessments, such as end-of-term exams or standardized tests, have been a longstanding and widely adopted method in educational systems globally (Black & Wiliam, 1998; Popham, 2019; Shepard, 2000). These assessments aim to measure students' overall understanding of the material, typically assigning grades based on the cumulative knowledge demonstrated. While providing a structured and quantitative measure of achievement, critics argue that these assessments often

prioritize memorization over critical thinking and fail to capture the complexity of students' cognitive abilities (William, 2007).

Project-based assessments, involving students in extended, real-world tasks, represent another approach to evaluating learning (Barron & Darling-Hammond, 2008; Thomas, 2000; Wiggins & McTighe, 2005). These assessments aim to measure not only content knowledge but also critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaboration skills. Advocates argue that project-based assessments provide a more authentic representation of students' abilities and better prepare them for real-world challenges (Boss, 2013; Darling-Hammond & Adamson, 2010; Grant, 2002).

The Welsh curriculum advocates for flexibility and responsiveness to individual needs (Donaldson, 2015). Despite this, age or stage-related development models, such as Piaget, J. (1952). cognitive stages or Erikson, E. H. (1950). psychosocial stages, aims to provide educators with a scaffold for understanding children's typical developmental progressions (Wood & Attfield, 2020). These models offer a structured framework for understanding typical developmental milestones. Jones, White, and Hobson (2021) argue that while these models provide valuable insights, they risk oversimplifying the complex and individualized nature of children's development. A critical examination within the Welsh context requires evaluating the balance between acknowledging individual uniqueness and providing a structured developmental guide that aligns with national expectations. This is especially pertinent in ensuring that these models do not inadvertently contribute to a one-size-fits-all approach, contrary to the ethos of the Welsh curriculum.

The Welsh curriculum recognizes the role of technology in enhancing learning experiences (Welsh Government, 2015). Digital tools, such as video observations and interactive apps, offer additional avenues for assessment within play-based curricula (Plowman et al., 2018). The integration of technology in assessment, when done judiciously, can provide valuable insights into a child's unique learning style. However, counterclaims emphasize concerns about overreliance on technology and potential equity issues (Siraj-Blatchford et al., 2016). In the Welsh context, where inclusivity and accessibility are paramount, a critical perspective is vital to ensure that technological integration aligns with the principles of the curriculum. This

includes addressing issues of access, ensuring that all children, irrespective of their background, can benefit from technology-enhanced assessments.

Cultural sensitivity is integral to the Welsh curriculum's commitment to inclusivity (Donaldson, 2015). Culturally responsive assessments aim to recognize and respect diverse backgrounds, acknowledging the rich tapestry of cultural diversity within the Welsh educational landscape (Fleer & Raban, 2020). Challenges persist in developing universally applicable and culturally sensitive assessments (Anderson & Drake, 2020). Within the Welsh context, where diversity is celebrated, a critical evaluation is vital to navigate the complexities of cultural responsiveness. It is essential to ensure that assessments resonate with the cultural diversity of learners, avoiding the imposition of cultural biases and ensuring that assessments are fair and equitable for all children.

The Welsh curriculum's emphasis on the 'Unique Child' necessitates a comprehensive exploration and critical evaluation of assessment methods, progress tracking, and age or stage-related development models within play-based curricula. The Welsh context, with its commitment to inclusivity, flexibility, and responsiveness, demands careful consideration of these aspects to ensure that the educational experiences provided align with the principles of the curriculum. A nuanced and critical perspective is essential in navigating the complexities of assessment within play-based curricula, striving to create a learning environment that truly honours and nurtures the uniqueness of each child in the Welsh educational landscape.

Section 3

When it comes to early childhood education, a play-based curriculum's revolutionary potential must be carefully considered before embarking on an evolutionary change. Continuing to proceed with this discussion, one will scrutinize the foundational principles and emerging evidence, revealing that the adoption of play as a central learning mechanism is not only a progressive choice but an essential pathway toward holistic child development.

In implementing a play-based curriculum, the fundamental consideration is the acknowledgment of play as a multifaceted and dynamic force for learning (Bodrova & Leong, 2017; Pellegrini & Smith, 2005; Whitebread et al., 2012). This recognition goes beyond perceiving play as a mere recreational activity; it positions play as an

integral element for cognitive, social, emotional, and physical development. However, while the literature overwhelmingly supports the positive aspects of play, critics argue that a lack of explicit structure might hinder academic preparedness (Hirsh-Pasek et al., 2009; Yelland, 2015; Wood, 2013). Striking a balance between the inherent freedom of play and the structured academic foundation becomes a critical consideration in navigating this dichotomy.

Consideration of the physical environment as a pedagogical tool underscores the significance of purposeful play spaces (Fleer, 2019; Siraj-Blatchford et al., 2002; Sylva et al., 2004). A well-designed play environment is not merely a backdrop but an active participant in the learning process, influencing children's exploration and discovery. While the literature extols the virtues of purposeful play spaces in fostering creativity and collaboration, it is crucial to critically examine the potential challenges. For instance, the question arises about how to balance the provision of stimulating environments with the risk of overwhelming sensory stimuli for some children (Ulset, Smith, & Mørch, 2017). Navigating this delicate equilibrium is imperative for creating environments that inspire without causing undue stress.

A shift in the educator's role represents a cornerstone in play-based curriculum implementation (Bennett et al., 2016; Fleer & Hammer, 2015; Veraksa et al., 2018). This shift from a traditional instructor to a facilitator and co-learner aligns with the literature advocating for a more interactive and participatory role for educators in play-based settings. The evidence suggests that such a transformation empowers educators to better understand individual needs and interests, fostering a deeper connection and promoting self-directed learning. However, the critical perspective highlights the potential challenges educators face in adapting to this new role, especially if they lack adequate training and support (Jung, 2018; Jones & Reynolds, 2011; Schulting, Malone, & Dodge, 2005). As one champions this shift, it is essential to address the barriers that might hinder educators from fully embracing their evolving roles.

Fleer and Hammer (2015) elaborate on the concept of educators as co-learners, highlighting that the interactive exchange of knowledge between educators and children is reciprocal. In this symbiotic relationship, educators gain insights into the unique perspectives and interests of each child, tailoring their facilitation to support

individualized learning pathways. The Welsh curriculum, with its emphasis on individualized learning and responsiveness to children's needs (Donaldson, 2015), aligns harmoniously with this participatory approach. The Welsh foundation phase, in particular, encourages educators to be reflective practitioners, adapting their roles based on ongoing observations and interactions with children.

Veraksa et al. (2018) delve into the transformative potential of educators assuming a role as co-learners, emphasizing that this shift enhances the quality of relationships between educators and children. This relational aspect is crucial in play-based settings, where trust and rapport form the foundation for meaningful learning experiences. By actively participating in play scenarios, educators gain insights into the socioemotional development of children, fostering a deeper connection that transcends the conventional teacher-student dynamic.

However, it is imperative to acknowledge the potential challenges educators may encounter in adapting to this new role, particularly in the absence of sufficient training and support. Jung (2018) argues that without proper guidance, educators may find it challenging to navigate the balance between providing guidance and allowing for child-led exploration. The risk of falling back into traditional didactic practices looms large, hindering the realization of the full potential of play-based learning.

Jones and Reynolds (2011) echo these concerns, emphasizing the need for ongoing professional development to equip educators with the skills and knowledge necessary for effective facilitation in play-based settings. The Welsh curriculum recognizes the significance of professional development, advocating for continuous learning and improvement among educators (Donaldson, 2015). By aligning training programs with the principles of the Welsh curriculum, educators can overcome challenges and embrace their evolving roles with confidence.

Schulting, Malone, and Dodge (2005) delve into the potential resistance educators might face in relinquishing their traditional roles. The shift from an authoritative figure to a facilitator requires a mindset change, and without proper support systems, educators may feel apprehensive about stepping into this unfamiliar territory. Acknowledging and addressing this resistance is crucial for the successful implementation of play-based curricula.

Navigating these challenges, the Welsh curriculum serves as a beacon, providing a framework that encourages educators to be reflective practitioners. Donaldson's (2015) emphasis on individualized learning aligns with the participatory role of educators in play-based settings, offering a roadmap for overcoming challenges and embracing the transformative possibilities of this paradigm shift.

Assessment methodologies within the play-based paradigm need careful consideration and scrutiny (Almon & Miller, 2019; Van Hoorn et al., 2017; Yelland & Tarrant, 2012). The literature suggests that traditional metrics may not adequately capture the richness of play-based learning experiences. Alternative assessment strategies, such as narrative assessments and portfolio-based evaluations, emerge as more authentic reflections of a child's growth. However, critics argue that this subjective approach may lack the objectivity required for standardized assessments (Brown, Harris, & Odom, 2008; Linder, 2015; Shepard, 2005). Striking a balance between authentic, child-centered assessment and the need for standardized measures is a complex challenge that requires ongoing research and thoughtful implementation.

The inherent inclusivity of a play-based curriculum, accommodating diverse learning styles and needs, stands as a compelling argument in its favor (Dockett & Perry, 2019; Loucaides, 2015; Wang et al., 2019). The literature consistently supports the notion that the flexibility of play allows for differentiation, ensuring that the unique strengths and challenges of each child are acknowledged and addressed. However, the critical lens prompts us to examine potential pitfalls in the pursuit of inclusivity. Research highlights the importance of teacher awareness and preparedness in accommodating diverse needs, emphasizing the need for ongoing professional development (Barblett, 2015; Souto-Manning, Swick, & McKie, 2009; Woods & Woods, 2015). Inclusivity in play, while a strength, requires a strategic and informed approach to navigate potential challenges.

To conclude, the implementation of a play-based curriculum offers a transformative pathway in early childhood education. The critical considerations discussed, from embracing play as a holistic learning paradigm to rethinking assessment methodologies, underscore the nuanced and dynamic nature of this educational

shift. As one steers these complexities and potential challenges, it is crucial to approach the play-based paradigm with critical insight, leveraging evidence to inform practices, addressing barriers, and continually refining our approach. The transformative power of play in early childhood education lies not just in its inherent joy but in its capacity to mould resilient, creative, and lifelong learners.

Conclusion

The paradigm shift towards play-based early years curricula signifies a profound evolution in early childhood education. Our critical exploration, detailed in Section 1, emphasized the challenges and promises of implementing play-based approaches. Synthesizing insights from the Welsh Government's Independent Stocktake of the Foundation Phase in Wales (2014) and Donaldson's (2015) report, Section 1 underscored the necessity of balancing the benefits of play with academic demands. This section highlighted contextual factors influencing the effectiveness of play-based approaches.

In Section 2, focused on the Welsh curriculum's commitment to the 'Unique Child,' one critically evaluated assessment methods, progress tracking, and age or stage-related development models within play-based curricula. Emphasizing inclusivity, flexibility, and responsiveness, Section 2 offered insights grounded in the Welsh context, guiding educators and policymakers.

Section 3 delved into the transformative potential of play-based curricula, emphasizing the paradigm shift in educators' roles and the reciprocal relationship between educators and children. Aligned with the Welsh curriculum's principles, this section provided a framework for overcoming challenges and embracing educators' evolving roles, with a critical lens on potential resistance and barriers.

In a broader academic conclusion, reflecting on the dynamic nature of play-based education, encapsulating the core findings and implications of our exploration. The transformative power of play lies not only in its joy but also in its capacity to mould resilient, creative, and lifelong learners. As early childhood education evolves, the critical considerations outlined in this analysis serve as guideposts for educators, policymakers, and stakeholders navigating play-based curricula's complexities.

References

- Almon, J., & Miller, S. M. (2019). *Crisis in the Kindergarten: Why Children Need to Play in School*. Alliance for Childhood. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).
- Barblett, L. (2015). *Learning to Read in Preschool: Observations in Play-Based Kindergarten and Teacher Education*. *International Journal of Early Years Education*, 23(2), pp. 122-137. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).
- Barron, B., & Darling-Hammond, L. (2008). *Teaching for meaningful learning: A review of research on inquiry-based and cooperative learning*. Book Report, Stanford University. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).
- Bassok, D., & Rorem, A. (2020). *Is kindergarten the new first grade?* AERA Open, 6(2), Available at: <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1194581> 2332858420924963. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).
- Bennett, J. (2019). *Professional development in early childhood education: An investigation into current practices and future needs*. *Early Education and Development*, 30(4), pp. 477-494. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).
- Bennett, J., Wood, E., Rogers, S., Hooper, C., & Abedin, M. (2016). *Learning to Play in the Digital Playground: A Review of the Literature on the Emergence of Children's Digital Play*. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 17(3), pp. 1-18.
- Bergen, D., & Mauer, D. (2017). *Reconsidering the Role of Play in Early Childhood: Towards Social Justice and Equity*. Routledge. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).
- Berk, L. E. (2021). *Child development* (10th ed.). Pearson. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). *Assessment and classroom learning*. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), pp. 7-74. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).
- Bodrova, E., & Leong, D. J. (2017). *Play and self-regulation: Lessons from Vygotsky*. *American Journal of Play*, 9(2), pp. 196-213. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).
- Bodrova, E., & Leong, D. J. (2017). *Tools of the Mind: A Vygotskian-based Early Childhood Curriculum*. Prentice Hall. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).

Boss, S. (2013). *Are we ready to build a generation of problem solvers?* Kappan, 95(2), pp. 14-19. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).

Broström, S., & Bünger, A. (2018). *Professional development in early childhood education: A review of the research literature*. Early Child Development and Care, 188(6), pp. 753-773. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Brown, F., Harris, B., & Odom, S. (2008). *An Emerging Understanding of the Kindergarten Year*. Early Education and Development, 19(4), pp. 625-647. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Darling-Hammond, L., & Adamson, F. (2010). *Beyond basic skills: The role of performance assessment in achieving 21st century standards of learning*. Stanford, CA: Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Dockett, S., & Perry, B. (2019). *Beginning school with teacher, child and parent expectations: A focus on transition to school programs in Australia*. Early Child Development and Care, 189(11), pp. 791-1804. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Dockett, S., & Perry, B. (2019). *Continuities and Changes: Pedagogies for Including Children's Perspectives in Early Childhood Education*. Early Child Development and Care, 189(6), pp. 963-978. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Donaldson, G. (2015). *Successful Futures: Independent Review of Curriculum and Assessment Arrangements in Wales*. Welsh Government. [Online]. Available: <https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2019-06/curriculum-for-wales-full-report.pdf> (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Donaldson, G. (2020). *A Blueprint for 21st Century Learning in Wales: Successful Futures*. Welsh Government. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Erikson, E. H. (1950). *Childhood and society*. Norton. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Fleer, M. (2018). *Play, pedagogy and teacher professionalism*. Springer. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Fleer, M. (2019). *Play in the Early Years: Learning in Diverse Contexts*. Cambridge University Press. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Fleer, M., & Hammer, M. (2015). *Reflecting on the Metaphor of 'Scaffolding' and its Implications for the Pedagogy of Play for Learning*. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 23(4), pp. 483-498. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Fleer, M., & Hammer, M. (2015). *Small Moments: Analysing Educators' Role in Supporting Children's Play in the Early Years Classroom*. *Australasian Journal of Early Childhood*, 40(3), pp. 69-77. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Fleer, M., & Pramling Samuelsson, I. (2018). *Play and learning in early childhood settings: International perspectives*. Springer. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Fleer, M., & Raban, B. (2020). *Digital Play-Based Learning: A philosophical and pedagogical exploration*. Springer. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Friedman-Krauss, A. H., Barnett, W. S., Nores, M., & Schneider, W. (2018). *The impact of New Jersey's Abbott preschool program on children's achievement and behavior through second grade*. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 11(2), pp. 249-278. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Grant, M. M. (2002). *Getting a grip on project-based learning: Theory, cases and recommendations*. *Meridian*, 5(1), pp. 1-27. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Hirsh-Pasek, K., Golinkoff, R. M., Berk, L. E., & Singer, D. G. (2022). *A mandate for playful learning in preschool: Applying the scientific evidence*. Oxford University Press. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Hirsh-Pasek, K., Golinkoff, R. M., Berk, L. E., & Singer, D. G. (2009). *A Mandate for Playful Learning in Preschool: Presenting the Evidence*. Oxford University Press. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Howard, J., Jenvey, V., & Hill, J. (2017). *Play in the early years: Practice and pedagogy in early childhood*. Sage. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Jones, E. J., & Reynolds, G. D. (2021). *The emergence of play-based learning as a framework for future education*. *Trends in Neuroscience and Education*, 26, 100144. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Jones, P., & White, M. (2023). *Rethinking childhood: A pedagogical exploration of the 21st-century child*. Routledge. (Accessed: 27 December 2023).

Jones, P., White, M., & Hobson, A. (2021). "Are You the Real Batman?" Exploring Young Children's Play Narratives Using the Lego Serious Play Methodology. *Journal of Early Childhood Research*, 19(4), pp. 320-336. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Jones, W. L., & Reynolds, C. R. (2011). *The Potential Impact of the Common Core State Standards on Psychology and Education*. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 24(4), pp. 347-362. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Jung, D. (2018). *Teachers' experiences of play-based learning in kindergarten: A phenomenological study*. *Early Child Development and Care*, 188(6), pp. 684-697. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Karpov, Y., & Evans, C. (2020). *Play in the early years: A review of the evidence*. National Literacy Trust. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Linder, T. W. (2015). *Digital Play in the Early Years: A Context for Developmental Norms*. *Australian Journal of Early Childhood*, 40(1), pp. 94-102. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Loucaides, L. M. (2015). *Play in inclusive early childhood education settings: possibilities and challenges*. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 19(6), pp. 653-671. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Miller, E., & Almon, J. (2019). *Crisis in the kindergarten: Why children need to play in school*. Alliance for Childhood. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Pellegrini, A. D., & Smith, P. K. (2005). *The Nature of Play: Great Apes and Humans*. Guilford Press. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Pellegrini, A. D., & Smith, P. K. (2022). *The Nature of Play: Great Apes and Humans*. Guilford Press. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Perry, B., Dockett, S., & Petriwskyj, A. (2018). "It makes me feel like I'm at home": Developing an early years curriculum in Australia for Indigenous children in out-of-home care. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 19(3), pp. 240-256. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Piaget, J. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in children*. Norton. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Pianta, R. C., & Hamre, B. K. (2022). *Classroom processes and positive youth development: Conceptualizing, measuring, and improving the capacity of interactions between teachers and students*. New Directions for Youth Development, 2022(177), pp. 89-103. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Plowman, L., McPake, J., & Stephen, C. (2018). *Just picking it up? Young children learning with technology at home*. Cambridge Journal of Education, 48(1), pp. 59-76. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Popham, W. J. (2019). *Criterion-referenced measurement: Half a century voyage*. Frontiers in Education, 4, pp. 1-7. (Accessed: 30 December 2023).

Pyle, A., Danniels, E., & Dockett, S. (2020). *Education for sustainability in early childhood education: A review of the research literature*. Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood, 21(4), pp. 379-395. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Rushton, S., Dutton, H., & Dickens, M. (2021). *The contribution of the Early Years Workforce to childcare quality and its variability: A review and meta-analysis*. Children and Youth Services Review, 122, 105940. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Saracho, O. N., & Spodek, B. (2021). *Contemporary perspectives on play in early childhood education*. Springer. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Schulting, A. B., Malone, P. S., & Dodge, K. A. (2005). *The Effect of School-Based Kindergarten Transition Policies and Practices on Child Academic Outcomes*. Developmental Psychology, 41(6), pp. 860-871. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Shepard, L. A. (2000). *The role of assessment in a learning culture*. Educational Researcher, 29(7), pp. 4-14. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Shepard, L. A. (2005). *Linking Formative Assessment to Scaffolding*. Educational Leadership, 63(3), pp. 66-70. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Siraj-Blatchford, I., Sylva, K., Muttock, S., Gilden, R., & Bell, D. (2015). *Researching effective pedagogy in the early years*. Department for Education. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Siraj-Blatchford, I., Sylva, K., Muttock, S., Gilden, R., & Bell, D. (2002). *Researching Effective Pedagogy in the Early Years (REPEY)*: DfES Research Report 365. Department for Education and Skills. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Siraj-Blatchford, J., Park, E., & Taggart, B. (2016). *Effective Pedagogy in the Early Years: What Next?* British Educational Research Journal, 42(2), pp. 234-252. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Slavin, R. E. (2018). *Educational psychology: Theory and practice*. Pearson. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Smith, P. K., Howes, C., & Matheson, C. C. (2022). *The Importance of Play in Promoting Healthy Child Development and Maintaining Strong Parent-Child Bonds*. Pediatrics, 146(1), e20201286. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Souto-Manning, M., Swick, K. J., & McKie, R. (2009). *Critical Multicultural Analysis of Children's Literature: Mirrors, Windows, and Doors*. Routledge. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Stipek, D. (2019). *Early childhood education: The pressing policy choices*. Educational Researcher, 48(6), pp. 332-339. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Sylva, K., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Sammons, P., Melhuish, E., & Elliot, K. (2004). *Capturing Quality in Early Childhood through Environmental Rating Scales*. Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 19(3), pp. 375-403. (Accessed: 2 January 2023).

Thomas, J. (2000). *A review of research on project-based learning*. Autodesk Foundation. (Accessed: 10 January 2023).

Van Hoorn, J., Nourrot, P., Scales, B., & Alward, K. R. (2017). *Play at the Center of the Curriculum*. Pearson. (Accessed: 10 January 2023).

Veraksa, N., Bukhalenkova, D., & Teregulova, K. (2018). *Teacher Sensitivity to Children's Needs in the Context of Play-Based Activities*. Early Child Development and Care, 188(10), pp. 1418-1430. (Accessed: 10 January 2023).

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind and society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. (Accessed: 10 January 2023).

Wang, C., Wang, B., Yao, X., & Chiu, C. (2019). *Understanding children's perceptions of inclusion through drawings in an early childhood education setting*. International Journal of Inclusive Education, 23(4), pp. 380-396. (Accessed: 10 January 2023).

Welsh Government. (2014). *Independent Stocktake of the Foundation Phase in Wales*. Available at: <https://dera.ioe.ac.uk/id/eprint/20340/1/140519-independent-stocktake-of-the-foundation-phase-in-wales-en.pdf> (Accessed: 22 December 2023).

Welsh Government. (2015). *Curriculum for Wales: Framework*. Retrieved from <https://hwb.gov.wales/curriculum-for-wales-framework/> (Accessed: 22 December 2023).

White, J., & Pino-Pasternak, D. (2019). *Child-initiated play and professional practice in early childhood education: Findings from a Scottish study*. *Early Child Development and Care*, 189(3), pp. 392-404. (Accessed: 22 December 2023).

Whitebread, D., Coltman, P., Jameson, H., Lander, R., & Wiebe, N. (2012). *The development of two observational tools for assessing metacognition and self-regulated learning in young children*. *Metacognition and Learning*, 7(2), pp. 147-162. (Accessed: 22 December 2023).

Whitebread, D., Kuvalja, M., & Neale, D. (2021). *Towards a contemporary view of children's play and learning in early childhood settings: Moving beyond the dichotomy*. *Journal of Early Childhood Research*, 19(3), pp. 220-237. (Accessed: 22 December 2023).

Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by design*. ASCD. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).

William, D. (2007). *Keeping learning on track: Classroom assessment and the regulation of learning*. In F. K. Lester Jr (Ed.), *Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 1053-1098). National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).

Wood, E. (2013). *What types of adult support enable effective learning through play?* *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 21(4), pp. 459-472. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).

Wood, E., & Attfield, J. (2020). *Play and learning in early childhood settings: International perspectives*. Sage. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).

Woods, C., & Woods, G. J. (2015). *Play in American Kindergarten: An Historical Account from the American Journal of Play*. *American Journal of Play*, 8(3), pp. 344-365. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).

Yelland, N. (2015). *The Future Is Now: A Review of the Literature on the Use of Computers in Early Childhood Education (2012–2015)*. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 16(2), pp. 113-135. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).

Yelland, N., & Tarrant, J. (2012). *Rethinking Outdoor Learning Environments: Forest Schools, Babies, and the Necessity of Affective Relations*. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 13(1), pp. 21-36. (Accessed: 20 December 2023).