



INTERNAL ASSESSMENT APPROVAL FORM (INCLUDING COLLABORATIVE PROVISION)

This form is used to ensure that assessment specifications have been through an internal review process prior to external examination/approval and release to students. It should be completed for each assessment for a particular module. The assessment tasks, criteria and marking scheme should accompany this form.

PARTNER (and taught location if relevant):	Coleg Sirgar				
EXTERNAL EXAMINER NAME:	TO BE ADVISED				
ASSESSMENT AUTHOR:	CHRIS DAVIES				
MODULE TITLE:	THERMOFLUIDS 2				
MODULE CODE:	SADM5012		MODULE LEVEL:	5	
COMPONENT NO. (e.g. 1 of 2):	1		COMPONENT WEIGHTING (e.g. 50% of the module):	50%	
ASSESSMENT PERIOD	☒ Semester 1	☐ Semester 1 Re-sit	☐ Semester 2	☐ Semester 2 Re-sit	Other, please state
TYPE OF ASSESSMENT:	☒ Coursework		☐ Examination		☐ Practical
LANGUAGE OF ASSESSMENT:	English		TRANSLATION REQUIRED (Y/N):	N	
FOR EXAMINATIONS ONLY. SPECIFY BELOW ANY ADDITIONAL MATERIAL(S) TO BE USED IN THE EXAMINATION					
This box will expand as you type					

INTERNAL MODERATOR PLEASE TICK TO INDICATE THAT THE ASSESSMENT INCLUDES:		Please ✓ YES NO		Comments
1	Tasks that are specified clearly, accurately and comprehensibly, with appropriate use of language and neat presentation	✓		
2	Tasks which enable the module learning outcomes to be demonstrated (as per the module syllabus)	✓		
3	Tasks which conform to the assessment described in the module syllabus	✓		very common
4	Tasks which are written at the appropriate level of the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications (see http://www.qaa.ac.uk/docs/qaa/quality-code/qualifications-frameworks.pdf?sfvrsn=170af781_14).	✓		
5	Clear criteria for assessment and guidance about how marking/grading will be applied	✓		
6	Guidance about expected evidence for submission, e.g. essay or report, word count, bibliography and references	✓		
7	Reference to good academic conduct, for example referencing, plagiarism and Turnitin	✓		
8	Actions to complete			
This box will expand as you type				
SIGNATURE OF APPROVAL:		SIGNATURE		DATE
INTERNAL MODERATOR: I confirm that this version of the assessment specification is ready		Adam Treh		07/10/19

to be sent to the Partnership Team Leader		
---	--	--

ONLY TO BE COMPLETED IF THE ASSESSMENT IS LINKED TO A COLLABORATIVE PARTNER

PARTNERSHIP TEAM LEADER PLEASE TICK TO INDICATE THAT THE ASSESSMENT INCLUDES:		Please ✓		Comments
		YES	NO	
1	Tasks that are specified clearly, accurately and comprehensibly, with appropriate use of language and neat presentation			
2	Tasks which enable the module learning outcomes to be demonstrated (as per the module syllabus)			
3	Tasks which conform to the assessment described in the module syllabus			
4	Tasks which are written at the appropriate level of the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications (see http://www.qaa.ac.uk/docs/qaa/quality-code/qualifications-frameworks.pdf?sfvrsn=170af781_14).			
5	Clear criteria for assessment and guidance about how marking/grading will be applied			
6	Guidance about expected evidence for submission, e.g. essay or report, word count, bibliography and references			
7	Reference to good academic conduct, for example referencing, plagiarism and Turnitin			
8	Actions to complete <i>This box will expand as you type</i>			
SIGNATURE OF APPROVAL:		SIGNATURE		DATE
PTL: I confirm that this version of the assessment specification is ready to be sent to the External Examiner				

UWTSD PROVISION: please submit the completed document to the appropriate Programme Director.

COLLABORATIVE PROVISION: please submit the completed document (or make available electronically) to the Partnership Team Leader. This must be in accord with specific arrangements for sharing electronic documents (e.g. via SharePoint or similar).

The assessment tasks, criteria and marking scheme should accompany this form

THIS DOCUMENT IS ALSO AVAILABLE IN WELSH

LEVEL 5 ASSESSMENT SPECIFICATION

Student name:		Student ID number:	
Programme:	BSc Hons / HND Mechanical Engineering.		
Module:	Thermofluids 2		
Module code:	PSDM5012	Contribution to Overall Module Assessment (%):	50%
Lecturer:	Dr Chris Davies	Internal Verifier:	Mr Adam Twells
Assignment Title:	Determination of Pump Characteristics	Word count (or equivalent):	2250
Submission deadline:		Return date of provisional marks & written feedback:	
Submission method:	All written assessments, where practical and possible, must be submitted via Turnitin unless otherwise instructed by the Lecturer. (Please DO NOT put this assessment specification into Turnitin or it will match many similarities with other students' submissions.) Alternative submission method (if applicable): Late submission of the assessment will result in a late penalty mark. Penalties for late submission: Up to one week late, maximum mark of 40%. Over one week late, 0%. Only the Extenuating Circumstances Panel may approve a change to submission dates.		
Academic honesty / referencing:	Academic honesty is required. In the main body of your submission you must give credit to authors on whose research and ideas your work is based. Append to your submission a reference list that indicates the books, articles, etc. that you have used, cited or quoted in order to complete this assessment.		

Module Learning Outcomes (from module syllabus)

Demonstrate an understanding and solve problems in thermo fluid mechanics.

TASK DESCRIPTION

1.0 Assignment outline.

As part of the course you have studied flow in pipework systems and the matching of pump and system characteristics. This laboratory is concerned with the characteristics of a pump rig in the mechanical testing laboratory.

Your report should be consistent with the activities and include all relevant information. It is suggested that you follow the layout for the completion of your report below:

- Summary - identification of objective, key theory and results, conclusions and recommendations.
- Introduction - what the assignment is about.
- Objective – of the test undertaken.
- Equipment – diagrams and listing.
- Method – the steps carried out.
- Results – numerical data obtained from the test.
- Conversion – of results into graphs etc.
- Discussion – identification and explanation of key areas of theory and results.
- Conclusion – comparisons of results to theory etc.
- References

2.0 Objective.

To plot and understand the significance of the following performance characteristics of:

- A single pump
- Two pumps run in parallel
- Two pumps run in series

3.0 Test.

3.1 Single pump test.

1. Before using the equipment for the first time, ensure that the reservoir is filled $\frac{3}{4}$ full with clean water.
2. Use pump 1 only. Switch off both motor drive isolators.
3. Close pump 2 inlet valve and set the two-way valve to direct flow straight to the delivery pipe. Fully open pump 1 inlet and the delivery valve.
4. Switch on pump 1 motor drive isolator.
5. Start the pump motor and run it at constant speed of 3000 revs/min.
6. Use the bleed line to bleed all pressure gauges.
7. Make sure the delivery valve is fully open. Readjust the motor speed if necessary. Record all pressure readings.
8. In 0.1 bar steps, use the delivery valve to increase the outlet pressure. **Do not adjust the inlet value.** At each step, adjust the motor speed back to its initial setting and take pressure readings.
9. Take 6/8 readings at various flow rates.
10. Plot the following graphs:

Shaft power against volume flow rate.
Total head against volume flow rate.
Pump efficiency against volume flow rate.

Note: pump efficiency = fluid power out / shaft power in

3.2 Parallel pump test.

1. Before using the equipment for the first time, ensure that the reservoir is filled $\frac{3}{4}$ full with clean water.
2. Use both pumps. Switch off both motor drive isolators.
3. Open both inlet valves and set the two-way valve to direct flow straight to the delivery pipe. Fully the delivery valve.
4. Switch on both motor drive isolators.
5. Start the pump motors and run it at constant speed of 3000 revs/min.
6. Use the bleed line to bleed all pressure gauges.
7. Make sure the delivery valve is fully open. Readjust the motor speed if necessary. Record all pressure readings.
8. In 0.1 bar steps, use the delivery valve to increase the outlet pressure. **Do not adjust the inlet value.** At each step, adjust the motor speed back to its initial setting and take pressure readings.
9. Take 6/8 readings at various flow rates.
10. Plot the following graphs:

Shaft power against volume flow rate.

Total head against volume flow rate.

Pump efficiency against volume flow rate.

Note: pump efficiency = fluid power out / shaft power in

3.1 Series pump test.

1. Before using the equipment for the first time, ensure that the reservoir is filled $\frac{3}{4}$ full with clean water.
2. Use both pumps. Switch off both motor drive isolators.
3. Open pump 1 inlet value. Close pump 2 inlet value. Set the two-way valve to direct flow straight to the inlet of pump 2. Fully the delivery valve.
4. Switch on both motor drive isolators.
5. Start the pump motors and run it at constant speed of 3000 revs/min.
6. Use the bleed line to bleed all pressure gauges.
7. Slowly adjust the delivery valve to give a suitable delivery (output) pressure of 0.3 bar. Readjust the motor speeds if necessary. Record all pressure readings.
8. In 0.2 to 0.3 bar steps, use the delivery valve to increase the outlet pressure. **Do not adjust the inlet value.** At each step, adjust the motor speed back to its initial setting and take pressure readings.
9. Take 6/8 readings at various flow rates.
10. Plot the following graphs:

Shaft power against volume flow rate.

Total head against volume flow rate.

Pump efficiency against volume flow rate.

Note: pump efficiency = fluid power out / shaft power in

Use the tables attached to record your results.

4.0 Discussion of results and conclusions.

After plotting the pump performance graphs discuss their significance. Describe the relationship between efficiency, head and flow rate. What is the best efficiency?

Evaluate the performance characteristics for pumps run in series and in parallel against those for a single pump. Describe how the total head curves are affected by the parallel and series circuits. What do you notice about the efficiency for the series and parallel pumps?

Relate the results of the test to applicable theory.

The efficiency of large industrial pumps is normally 75%. Why do you think the efficiency of the pumps under test were different to that of a large industrial pump?

Discuss typical applications for centrifugal pumps and discuss their suitability.

[illegible][illegible]

[illegible][illegible]

GUIDANCE FOR STUDENTS IN THE COMPLETION OF TASKS

NOTE: The guidance offered below is linked to the five generic assessment criteria overleaf.

1. Engagement with Literature Skills

Your work must be informed and supported by scholarly material that is *relevant* to and *focused* on the task(s) set. You should provide evidence that you have accessed an appropriate *range* of sources, which may be academic, governmental and industrial; these sources may include academic journal articles, textbooks, current news articles, organisational documents, and websites. You should consider the *credibility* of your sources; academic journals are normally highly credible sources while websites require careful consideration/selection and should be used sparingly. Any sources you use should be current and up-to-date, mostly published within the last five years or so, though seminal/important works in the field may be older. You must provide *evidence* of your research/own reading throughout your work, using a suitable referencing system, including in-text citations in the main body of your work and a reference list at the end of your work.

Guidance specific to this assessment:

- This will be assessed via the quality of your literature review.

2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills

At level 5, you should be able to demonstrate: sound knowledge and critical understanding of the well-established concepts and principles of the subject area and the way in which those principles have developed; knowledge of the main methods of enquiry in the discipline. *Knowledge* relates to the facts, information and skills you have acquired through your learning. You demonstrate your *understanding* by interpreting the meaning of the facts and information (knowledge). This means that you need to select and include in your work the concepts, techniques, models, theories, etc. appropriate to the task(s) set. You should be able to explain the theories, concepts, etc. meaningfully to show your understanding. Your mark/grade will also depend upon the *extent* to which you demonstrate your knowledge and understanding.

Guidance specific to this assessment:

- This will be assessed by your discussion of results.

3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills

You should be able to critically analyse information, and propose solutions to problems arising from that analysis, including the critical evaluation of the appropriateness of different approaches to solving problems. Your work must contain evidence of logical, analytical thinking, evaluation and synthesis. For example, to examine and break information down into parts, make inferences, compile, compare and contrast information. This means not just describing what! But also justifying: Why? How? When? Who? Where? At what cost? At all times, you must provide justification/evidence for your arguments and judgements. Evidence that you have reflected upon the ideas of others within the subject area is crucial to you providing a reasoned and informed debate within your work. Furthermore, you should provide evidence that you are able to make sound judgements and convincing arguments using data and concepts, with an understanding of the limits of knowledge, and how this influences analyses and interpretations. Sound, valid conclusions are necessary and must be derived from the content of your work. Where relevant, alternative solutions and recommendations may be proposed.

Guidance specific to this assessment:

- This will be assessed by the quality of your comments and conclusions, throughout the report.

4. Practical Skills

At level 5, you should be able to use/deploy a range of established techniques within the discipline, and apply underlying concepts and principles outside the context in which they were first studied, including, where appropriate, the application of those principles in an employment context. You should be able to demonstrate how the subject-related concepts and ideas relate to real world situations and/or a particular context. How do they work in practice? You will deploy models, methods, techniques, and/or theories, in that context, to assess current situations, perhaps to formulate plans or solutions to solve problems, or to create artefacts, some of which may be creative. This is likely to involve, for instance, the use of real world artefacts, examples and cases, the application of a model within an organisation and/or benchmarking one theory or organisation against others based on stated criteria. You should show awareness of the limitations of concepts and theories when applied in particular contexts.

Guidance specific to this assessment:

- This will be assessed via the quality of your discussion relating to the practical relevance of the points raised in your discussion.

5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice

Your work must provide evidence of the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making. This includes demonstrating: professional development to advance existing skills and acquire new competences that will enable you to assume significant responsibility within organisations; that you can initiate and complete tasks and procedures, whether individually and/or collaboratively; that you can use appropriate media to effectively communicate information, arguments and analysis in a variety of forms to specialist and non-specialist audiences; fluency of expression; clarity and effectiveness in presentation and organisation. Work should be coherent and well-structured in presentation and organisation.

Guidance specific to this assessment:

- This will be assessed via the written and presentational quality of your report.

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

This section details the *extent* to which the assessment criteria are demonstrated by you, which in turn determines your mark. The marks available for each category of skill are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Generic Assessment Criteria	Marks available	Marks awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills	20	
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills	30	
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20	
4. Practical Application Skills	15	
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	15	

Assessment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)

Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)

Up to 1 week late (40% Max)

Over 1 week late (0%)

%

GENERIC ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Level 5

In accordance with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications, at the end of Level 5 students will be expected to have developed sound knowledge and critical understanding of the well-established concepts and principles in their field of study, and of the way in which those principles have developed. They will have learned to apply those concepts and principles more widely outside the context in which they were first studied, including, where appropriate, the application of those principles in an employment context. They will have knowledge of the main methods of enquiry in the subject area, and ability to evaluate critically different approaches to problem solving. They will possess an understanding of the limits of their knowledge, and how this influences their analyses and interpretations. They will be able to use a range of established techniques to initiate and undertake critical analysis of information, and to propose solutions to problems arising from that analysis. They will be able to effectively communicate information, arguments and analysis in a variety of forms to specialist and non-specialist audiences, and deploy key techniques of the discipline effectively. They will be able to undertake further training, develop existing skills and acquire new competences that will enable them to assume significant responsibility within organisations. They will have the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making.

Level 5	Category	FAIL 0-29%	MARGINAL FAIL 30-39%	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
	Engagement with literature (including reading, referencing, academic conventions and academic honesty)	Little or no evidence of reading and/or reliance on inappropriate sources. Views and findings mostly unsupported and non-authoritative. Referencing conventions used incoherently or largely absent.	Poor engagement with essential reading. No evidence of wider reading. Reliance on inappropriate sources, and/or indiscriminate use of sources. Heavily reliant on information gained through class contact. Inconsistent and weak use of referencing.	Engagement with a limited range of mostly relevant and credible sources. Some omissions and minor errors. Referencing conventions evident though not always applied accurately or consistently.	Engagement with an appropriate range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Some over-reliance on texts. Referencing may show minor inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement with a wide range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Selection of relevant and credible sources. Very good use of referencing, with no/very few inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement with an extensive range of relevant and credible literature. Consistently accurate application of referencing.	Exceptional engagement with an extensive range of relevant and credible literature. High-level referencing skills consistently applied.
	Knowledge and understanding (Sound knowledge and critical	Major gaps in knowledge with unsatisfactory, uncritical understanding of the subject	Fragmentary knowledge, with only superficial critical understanding. Some significant	Limited but adequate knowledge and critical understanding of the well-	Knowledge is reasonably detailed and accurate. A good critical understanding of	Knowledge is reasonably extensive. Exhibits very competent critical	Excellent, detailed knowledge and highly critical understanding of the well-	Exceptionally detailed knowledge and outstanding critical understanding of

Level 5	Category	FAIL 0-29%	MARGINAL FAIL 30-39%	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
	understanding of the well-established concepts and principles in their field of study; knowledge of the main methods of enquiry in the discipline.)	matter. Much irrelevant material. Substantial inaccuracies. Significantly flawed understanding of the main methods of enquiry in the discipline.	inaccuracies and/or irrelevant material. Incomplete or partially flawed understanding of the main methods of enquiry in the discipline.	established concepts and principles within the subject area, with a few gaps in the selection of material. A narrow critical understanding of the main methods of enquiry.	the well-established concepts and principles and the main methods of enquiry, with minor gaps in the selection of material.	understanding of the well-established concepts and principles of the subject and the main methods of enquiry. Breadth and depth of knowledge.	established concepts and principles of the subject and the main methods of enquiry.	the well-established concepts and principles of the subject and the main methods of enquiry. May go beyond established theories.
	Cognitive and intellectual skills (Critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles; argument and judgement; the limits of their knowledge, and how this influences their analyses and interpretations.)	Wholly or almost wholly descriptive work. Little or no critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles. Failure to develop arguments, leading to illogical or invalid judgements. Unsubstantiated generalisations or opinion, made without use of any credible evidence.	Largely descriptive work, with superficial use of critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles. Weak development of arguments and judgements. Information accepted uncritically, uses generalised statements made with scant evidence and unsubstantiated opinions. Ideas sometimes illogical and contradictory.	Limited attempt at critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles, tending towards description. Some evidence to support arguments and judgements but these may be underdeveloped, with a little inconsistency / mis-interpretation or failure to fully recognise limits of knowledge.	Some critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles, though descriptive in parts. An emerging awareness the limits of their knowledge and ability to use evidence to support the argument though with some tendency to assert/state opinion rather than argue on the basis of reason and evidence. Mostly valid arguments and logical judgements.	Sound critical evaluation and analysis of concepts. Is selective in the range of evidence used and synthesises rather than describes. Ability to devise arguments that show awareness of different stances, and use evidence convincingly, to support appropriate and valid judgements.	Excellent critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles leading to logical, evidence-based, reasoned arguments and judgements. Explicit recognition of other stances and a strong awareness of the limits of their knowledge. A capacity for independent thought and ability to 'see beyond the question', suggesting a clear grasp of the broader field and wider concepts. Perceptive recognition of the limits of their	Outstanding critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles. Uses evidence exceptionally well to connect ideas, and support highly logical and persuasive arguments and judgements. Evidence of independent thought and ability to 'see beyond the question', suggesting a clear grasp of the broader field and wider concepts. Perceptive recognition of the limits of their

Level 5	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	EXCEPTIONAL
Category	0-29%	30-39%	(3 rd / Pass) 40-49%	(2.2 / Pass) 50-59%	(2.1 / Merit) 60-69%	(1 st / Distinction) 70-84%	(1 st / Distinction) 85-100%
Practical skills (Apply underlying concepts and principles more widely outside the context in which they were first studied; use a range of established techniques; propose solutions to problems arising from analysis.)	Limited or no use of established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Little or no appreciation of the context of the application. Limited understanding of the application of theory to practice or making appropriate links between the two. Very weak problem-solving skills outside the context in which they were first studied.	Rudimentary application of established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques but without consideration and competence. Flawed appreciation of the context of the application. Weak understanding of the application of theory to practice, but not always making logical links between the two. Can identify problems and propose basic solutions outside the context in which they were first studied.	An adequate awareness and mostly appropriate application of established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Basic appreciation of the context of the application. Theoretical knowledge and understanding applied in practice, making appropriate links between the two. Can identify problems and propose mostly appropriate solutions outside the context in which they were first studied.	A good and appropriate application of established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Clear appreciation of the context of the application. Mainly consistent, accurate and logical application of theory to practice, making appropriate links between the two. Can identify problems and propose solutions outside the context in which they were first studied. Evidence of some creativity.	A very good application of a range of established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Very good consideration of the context of the application, with perceptive insights. Consistent, accurate and logical application of theory to practice, making appropriate links between the two. Can identify problems and propose excellent, creative solutions outside the context in which they were first studied.	An advanced application of a range of established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. The context of the application is well considered, and insightful. Consistent, accurate and logical application of theory to practice, making links between the two. Can identify routine and non-routine problems and propose quite sophisticated, creative solutions outside the context in which they were first studied.	Exceptional levels of application and deployment skills using established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Consistent, accurate and logical application of theory to practice, making highly developed links between the two. Can identify routine and non-routine problems and propose quite sophisticated, creative solutions outside the context in which they were first studied.
Transferable skills for life and	Communication medium is	Communication medium is poorly designed and/or	Can communicate in a suitable	Can communicate effectively in a	Can communicate well, confidently	Can communicate professionally	Can communicate with an

Level 5	FAIL 0-29%	MARGINAL FAIL 30-39%	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass) 40-49%	GOOD (2.2 / Pass) 50-59%	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit) 60-69%	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction) 70-84%	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction) 85-100%
Category professional practice (Effectively communicate in a variety of forms to specialist and non-specialist audiences; the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making.)	inappropriate or misapplied. Work is poorly structured, disorganised and/or confusingly expressed. Very weak use of language and/or very inappropriate style. Failure to work effectively as part of a group. Little or no evidence of the skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making.	not suitable for the audience. Work is poorly presented in a disjointed manner. It is loosely, and at times incoherently, structured, with information and ideas often poorly expressed. Weak use of language and/or inappropriate style. Flawed approach to group work, meeting only partial obligations to others. Limited evidence of the skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making.	medium for the audience but with some room for improvement. Mostly ordered structure in which relevant ideas / concepts are reasonably expressed. Work may lack coherence in places. Can work as part of a group, meeting most obligations to others but perhaps with limited involvement in group activities. Demonstrates the basic skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making, with some areas of strength and some of minor weakness.	suitable medium for the audience, but may have minor errors. Mostly coherent, organised work, in a suitable structure and is for the most part clearly expressed. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with clear contribution to group activities. Demonstrates very good skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making, with just occasional minor weakness.	and consistently in a suitable medium for the audience. Work is coherent, fluent, well-structured and organised. Can work very well autonomously and/or as part of a team, with very good contribution to group activities. Demonstrates very good skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making, with just occasional minor weakness.	confidently and consistently in a suitable medium for the audience. Work is coherent, very fluent and is presented proficiently. Can work autonomously with initiative. Where relevant can work professionally within a team, showing leadership skills. Demonstrates exceptional skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making and an appetite for further development.	exceptionally high level of professionalism, highly suitable for the audience. Work is exceptionally coherent, very fluent and is presented professionally. Can work exceptionally well within a team, showing leadership skills. Demonstrates exceptional skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making and an appetite for further development.

THIS DOCUMENT IS ALSO AVAILABLE IN WELSH