

Unit name: International Business Communication

Module code: BMBM6021

**Bridging the Anglo-German business divide: Challenges and
breakthroughs under cultural differences**

Written by: Yuanping Yue

Student ID: 2324444

Abstract

Doing business across borders frequently poses unique challenges and sensitivities, especially when cultural, linguistic, and historical disparities come into play. In this reflective essay, I will explore the challenges and sensitivities involved in conducting business with Germany from a British perspective. Germany, being one of Europe's leading economies, has strong historical and cultural connections with the United Kingdom; nevertheless, significant differences persist that can influence business interactions. By drawing on intercultural communication theories, I will analyze these differences and put forward strategies to surmount potential challenges in business communication.

Keywords: Google Schola;Globalization;international business

Contents

Abstract	2
I.Introduction	1
II.Understanding Cultural Differences	2
III.Communication Styles and Their Impact	4
IV.German Service Trade Theory Research	6
V.Service Trade	7
VI.Conclusion	10
References	13

I.Introduction

Visola and Abduganieva navigate that the intricacies of cross-cultural communication are crucial for British businesses seeking to operate effectively in the German market. The relationship between Britain and Germany is historically significant and characterized by economic cooperation, but it is often hampered by cultural misunderstandings. According to Bosch and Schmitz-Kießler (2020), as globalization promotes interdependence among nations, it is critical to understand the challenges and sensitivities inherent in cross-cultural interactions. Kosse (2022) points out that British professionals have to work hard to adapt to unique German values such as formality, precision, and emphasis on structure, in contrast to the flexible and informal approach that the British usually prefer. In addition, different attitudes towards hierarchy and directness in dialogue can lead to misunderstandings. Addressing these cross-cultural nuances can not only improve the potential for successful business investments but also foster stronger relationships that ultimately contribute to a more harmonious economic environment between the two countries.

Thapliyal (2022) says that cross-cultural communication plays a vital role in international business because it embodies the complex interaction between different cultural backgrounds. This complexity is particularly important in the context of doing business with Germany, where understanding cultural nuances can have a profound impact on negotiations and cooperation. Effective cross-cultural communication promotes trust and cooperation, which are essential elements of business success. For example, Brinker and

Satchwell (2020) think the concept of “face”, which refers to an individual's social status and respect within a cultural framework, is crucial when assessing cross-border communication strategies. In addition, the need for linguistic and cultural competence among translators and business professionals emphasizes the importance of accurately conveying linguistic and cultural context. This capability ensures that information is not only accurately delivered but also properly received, ultimately improving the effectiveness of international business relationships and reducing the potential for misunderstanding.

II.Understanding Cultural Differences

Karami and Madlener (2022) pointed out that intercultural communication theories provide a framework for understanding how cultural differences can impact communication and business interactions. Key theories include Hofstede's cultural dimensions, Hall's high- and low-context cultures, and Ting-Toomey's face-saving theory.

Hofstede's cultural dimensions offer a quantitative approach to comparing cultures across six dimensions: power distance, individualism-collectivism, masculinity-femininity, uncertainty avoidance, long-term orientation, and indulgence-restraint. According to Hofstede (2020), Germany scores high on power distance, indicating a strong hierarchical structure and respect for authority. Germany also scores high on uncertainty avoidance, which reflects a preference for structure, rules, and predictability.

Kovalchuk (2024) noted that Hall's distinction between high- and low-context cultures highlights the degree to which meaning is derived from

the context or the words themselves. Germany is considered a high-context culture, where communication relies heavily on implicit messages, shared assumptions, and nonverbal cues. This contrasts with the UK, which tends towards a low-context culture, where explicit communication and directness are valued.

Li (2023) points out that the face-saving theory focuses on the importance of maintaining one's dignity and self-worth in communication. In high-face cultures, such as Germany, individuals prioritize saving face and avoiding conflict, which can lead to indirect communication and a tendency to avoid direct confrontation.

Decker (2022) thinks that Navigating cultural differences is crucial for effective business interactions, particularly between British and German entities. Understanding nuances such as attitudes towards hierarchy, communication styles, and negotiation practices can significantly impact business outcomes. For instance, Germans often favor direct communication and clarity, which contrasts with the more indirect approach commonly found in British settings. This divergence can lead to misunderstandings if not recognized and addressed. Furthermore, the cultural emphasis on punctuality and thorough preparation in Germany reflects a broader societal penchant for efficiency that contrasts with the British preference for flexibility and adaptability in discussions. As highlighted in studies pertaining to intercultural communication theories, the importance of acknowledging and respecting these differences cannot be overstated, as failing to do so may result in project delays or deteriorated relationships between partners. Thus, fostering a deep

appreciation of cultural variances is essential for successful cross-cultural collaborations.

Key cultural dimensions between British and German business practices. Understanding the key cultural dimensions between British and German business practices is critical for successful intercultural communication. One notable distinction lies in the approach to hierarchy and authority, with Germany exhibiting a more structured and formal corporate environment compared to the relatively egalitarian British setting. British businesses often emphasize individualism and personal relationships, while German practices tend to focus on collective responsibility and adherence to rules and procedures. This divergence can lead to misunderstandings; for instance, British informality may be perceived as a lack of seriousness by German counterparts. The significance of these cultural dimensions is further highlighted in the context of electronic communications, where cultural gaps can exacerbate miscommunication. As stated in (Chase et al.), cyberspace has its own culture, complicating interactions and necessitating a deeper awareness of underlying cultural norms to foster trust and clarity in business dealings. Hence, adapting to these differences is essential for effective collaboration.

III.Communication Styles and Their Impact

Kovalchuk (2024) pointed out that understanding communication styles is pivotal when navigating the complexities of intercultural business relations, particularly between British and German professionals. Differences in communication approaches can significantly impact negotiations and

collaboration outcomes. For instance, Baker (2023) also points out that Germans tend to favor direct and explicit communication, valuing clarity and detail in exchanges, British communicators often rely on more indirect styles that encompass nuance and context. This divergence can lead to misunderstandings, particularly in high-stakes business scenarios where clarity is paramount. Moreover, the online environment exacerbates these challenges; as highlighted in studies, the inherent limitations of digital communication deprive users of essential non-verbal cues, complicating cross-cultural interactions further. Consequently, recognizing and adapting to these contrasting communication styles is essential for successful engagement, fostering a more effective and mutually respectful business dialogue between British and German counterparts.

Haveman and Nedzhvetskaya (2022) claim that indirect communication for British businesses in Germany. In the context of doing business in Germany, British enterprises must navigate the intricate dynamics of direct versus indirect communication styles, which can significantly impact interpersonal and organizational interactions. Mahmud (2024) says that German communication tends to be more direct, valuing clarity and straightforwardness, while British communication often contains nuances with subtleties and implied meanings. Djauhar and Oktadiana (2022) observe that this cultural dissonance can lead to misunderstandings; British businesses may be perceived as evasive or lacking decisiveness, while Germans might come off as overly blunt or insensitive. Such differences underscore the necessity for British firms to adopt a more direct approach when engaging with German counterparts, facilitating a more harmonious business environment.

Consequently, Lin and Lou (2024) points out that recognizing and adapting to these contrasting communication preferences not only enhances operational efficiency but also fosters stronger professional relationships, ultimately supporting the goal of effective intercultural collaboration in a multicultural marketplace.

IV. German Service Trade Theory Research

The negative impact of cultural differences on international trade is mainly caused by the diverse cultural backgrounds and calendars of different countries. Historical traditions and customs vary, leading to significant differences in consumers' consumption concepts and habits. The existence of these differences makes the negative impact of cultural differences on international trade particularly prominent. Civelek and Krajčík found that cultural differences have a negative impact on the market selection of export enterprises. Gupta and Chauhan (2021) emphasized that the growth of export trade is negatively correlated with the degree of cultural differences. They believe that immigration can promote the development of trade between different countries and that cultural exchange is conducive to the development of export trade. In this paper, Thang (2024) assumed trust, respect, and control as indicators to conduct a gravity regression analysis and investigate the impact of cultural proximity on bilateral trade flows. It was found that cultural differences would restrain the growth of trade volume and that reducing cultural differences is conducive to improving the trade situation between different countries. Hendrith (2020) studied the impact of cultural differences on the trade of film, television, and music products. He pointed out

that the trade volume of these products would change with the degree of cultural differences; the greater the cultural differences between countries, the smaller the trade volume would be. Chinese scholars who study the impact of cultural differences on international trade also mainly focus on its negative effects.

V. Service Trade

Jan Ter Wengel (2022) conducted an empirical analysis of transportation, insurance, and other service trades based on the difference in factor endowments. According to the regression results, he concluded that the adequacy of a country's physical capital and human capital has an important impact on its transportation services and other private services respectively. In other words, the factor endowment status determines the development of a country's service trade.

Rashidov and Kholmirezayev (2023) argue that although we should pay attention to the differences between services and goods, the traditional theory of comparative advantage itself has a strong logic that can make the impact of these differences negligible. Thus, they believe the theory is applicable to the field of service trade.

Brinker and Satchwell (2020) hold that the traditional theory of comparative advantage requires that production factors cannot flow between countries, and the occurrence of trade in services is generally based on the spatial proximity between the two sides, which is not in line with its basic assumption. Therefore, they claim that the theory is not applicable to the field of service trade.

Alan, starting from factor prices and considering some characteristics of services, such as the trade of immovable factors, adopted the traditional H - O model for analysis. He systematically demonstrated the comparative advantages of trade in services and further demonstrated the interdependence and inseparability of trade in goods and services. Forbes (2020) analyzed the equilibrium of service factors and service product markets and concluded that the position of the service product supply curve depends on a country's technology and specific service factor endowment, while the demand curve reflects the endowment of all factors in a country. The change direction of service sector output caused by service demand trade and service product trade is completely opposite: the difference in service factor endowment has a greater impact on a country's welfare than the impact of its technology difference. When the government opens up service trade, it not only needs to consider the domestic and foreign price differences of service products and service factors but also should consider whether the country has a comparative technological advantage in the service sector. Silvia introduced technical differences into the traditional H - O - S model, making the revised model more consistent with the characteristics of service trade. Using OLS to analyze the sample data of 44 countries in 1977, she found that the comparative advantages of financial service trade came from skilled labor and technical differences. Relatively sufficient cultivated land and physical capital endowment had a negative impact on the comparative advantage of financial services trade, while the impact of non-skilled labor was unclear.

Beck, Krauß, and Weidenbach (2019) constructed a general equilibrium model to measure the main factors that cause service price differences among

countries. The research found that factor endowments in agricultural land, minerals, and capital, trade deficits, prices of traded products, and population were positively correlated with service prices, while the labor force was negatively correlated with service price. The strength of the extremely competitive trade in services comes from the difference in service prices caused by the different factor endowments of countries.

Belitski, Guenther, Kritikos, and Thurik (2022) concluded that the economic scale of a country is an important factor affecting its banking service exports (for example, the form of banking service exports; countries with large economic scale choose to establish overseas branches first). Specifically, Clauss, Abebe, Tangpong, and Hock (2019) stated that the economic scale of a country can be derived from the operation of the foreign exchange market, and the good operation of the foreign exchange market can improve the comparative advantage of its banking service trade.

Through empirical analysis, Hayakawa and Mukunoki (2021) found that the domestic market structure of a country's transport industry has a significant contribution to the profit and liberalization of its transport service trade because the access rate of the transport service market largely depends on the degree of competition within the transport industry.

Based on the data of the OECD, Li, Lan, and Ouyang (2020) conducted an empirical analysis and found that the structure of a country's manufacturing industry and information and communication technology are important factors affecting the competitiveness and specialization of its productive services (especially finance, communication, and business services).

Lian (2022) made an empirical analysis of sample data from 28 countries by using the gravity model and found that bilateral trade in goods, geographical and linguistic proximity, and regional trade arrangements are the key factors determining bilateral trade in services between two countries.

VI.Conclusion

Navigating the complex landscape of intercultural communication in the context of British-German business interactions necessitates a profound understanding of cultural nuances and sensitivities. The challenges identified, such as differing communication styles and business practices, underscore the necessity for British businesses to cultivate cultural awareness and sensitivity. As highlighted by the importance of appreciating cultural diversity, managers can significantly benefit from leveraging cross-cultural communication theories to foster an inclusive workplace that enhances collaboration and productivity. However, the theoretical recognition of diversity often falls short of practical implementation, leading to miscommunication and barriers that can inhibit organizational success. Thus, for British enterprises aiming to thrive in Germany, embracing cultural intelligence as a core component of their operational strategy is imperative, facilitating not only better business negotiations but also long-lasting professional relationships built on mutual understanding. A summary of challenges and recommendations for effective intercultural communication in business dealings with Germany can deepen China's opening-up pattern and boost domestic economic development.

At the same time, in view of the gradually increasing trade competition, it is necessary to recognize the harm of vicious competition and guide the development of relevant industries. The government should deepen the reform of the trade system, create a fairer market environment, avoid occupying the market solely by relying on the price advantage of export products, actively improve the cross-border trade coordination mechanism, and establish and improve the long-term mechanism of trade consultation and cooperation to solve bilateral trade disputes in a more fair and just form. Meanwhile, domestic enterprises should grasp the development trend of the industry, identify their own positioning in international trade, and vigorously develop a “specialized and special new” product system to avoid blind tendencies in development, so as to further enhance the trade complementarity between the two countries and avoid vicious competition.

Reflecting on this essay, I have gained a deeper understanding of the challenges and sensitivities of doing business with Germany from a British perspective. The intercultural communication theories I have discussed provide a valuable framework for analyzing cultural differences and developing strategies to overcome potential barriers.

One of the key insights I have gained is the importance of developing cultural awareness and sensitivity. Understanding and respecting cultural differences is crucial for building successful collaborations and avoiding misunderstandings. This involves not only learning about the target culture but

also being open to feedback and learning from colleagues and experts in that culture.

Another key insight is the need for flexibility and adaptability in communication styles and business practices. While the UK and Germany share many common values and interests, significant differences remain in areas such as communication styles, hierarchical structures, and legal and regulatory environments. British businesses must be prepared to adapt their approaches to suit the German context and be open to feedback and learning from German colleagues.

Finally, I have realized the importance of leveraging common values and interests to build stronger relationships with German counterparts. By emphasizing shared goals and values, British businesses can create a common ground for collaboration and achieve mutual success. This essay has provided me with a valuable opportunity to reflect on the challenges and sensitivities of doing business with Germany from a British perspective. By applying the insights and strategies.

References

1. Baker, B. (2023) 'Cross-Cultural Competencies in Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSI): A Primer for Business Instructors', *SSRN*, 4652190.
2. Beck, F., Krauß, M., and Weidenbach, F. (2019) 'Case: unu GmbH: Sharing Is Caring—A Suitable Business Model for E-Scooter in Germany', *Case Studies in Strategic Management: How Executive Input Enables Students' Development*, pp 23-59.
3. Belitski, M., Guenther, C., Kritikos, A. S. and Thurik, R. (2022) 'Economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on entrepreneurship and small businesses', *Small Business Economics*, pp 1-17.
4. Bosch, G. and Schmitz-Kießler, J. (2020) 'Shaping Industry 4.0—an experimental approach developed by German trade unions. *Transfer: European review of labour and research*, 26(2), pp1:89-206.
5. Brinker, L., and Satchwell, A. J. (2020). 'A comparative review of municipal energy business models in Germany, California, and Great Britain: Institutional context and forms of energy decentralization', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, pp. 119, 109521.
6. Clauss, T., Abebe, M., Tangpong, C. and Hock, M. (2019) 'Strategic agility, business model innovation, and firm performance: an empirical investigation', *IEEE transactions on engineering management*, 68(3), pp:767-784.
7. Civelek, M., and Krajčík, V. (2022) 'How do SMEs from different countries perceive export impediments depending on their firm-level characteristics?', *Oeconomia Copernicana*, 13(1), 55-78.

8. Decker, S. (2022) 'Introducing the eventful temporality of historical research into international business', *Journal of World Business*, 57(6), 101380.
9. Djauhar, R. H. , Oktadiana, H. (2021) 'The Importance of Understanding Cultural Awareness for Managers in the Hospitality Industry (in Indonesia)', *Bina Nusantara University*, pp:80-91.
10. Forbes, N. (2020) *Doing Business with the Nazis: Britain's Economic and Financial Relations with Germany, 1931-39*, Routledge.
11. Gupta, P., and Chauhan, S. (2021) 'Firm capabilities and export performance of small firms: A meta-analytical review', *European Management Journal*, 39(5), 558-576.
12. Haveman, H. A., and Nedzhvetskaya, N. (2022) 'Community, enterprise, and self-help: The coevolution of capitalism and non-profit and for-profit businesses in Britain and Germany', *In The corporation: Rethinking the iconic form of business organization* (Vol. 78, pp. 121-141).
13. Hayakawa, K., and Mukunoki, H. (2021) 'The impact of COVID-19 on international trade: Evidence from the first shock', *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 60, pp 101135.
14. Hendrith, Matthew. (2020) 'The Effects Culture and Communication have on Businesses', *Digital Commons*, pp. 87-98.
15. Jan Ter Wengel.(2022) 'International trade in banking services', *Journal of International Money and Finance*,14(1), pp, 47-64.
16. Karami, M., and Madlener, R. (2022) 'Business models for peer-to-peer energy trading in Germany based on households' beliefs and preferences', *Applied Energy*, pp:306, 118053.

17. Lian, G. (2022). 'Blockchain - Based Secure and Trusted Distributed International Trade Big Data Management System', *Mobile Information Systems*, 2022(1), pp7585288.
18. Li, J., Lan, L., and Ouyang, Z. (2020) 'Credit constraints, currency depreciation and international trade', *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 104, pp102175.
19. Li, Y. (2023) 'Face Concept of China and the West and Cross Cultural Communication', *Academic Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences*, 6(20), 132-136.
20. Lin, H., and Lou, L. (2024) 'A Study on Cross-Cultural Business Communication Based on Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Theory', *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 12(9), 352-368.
21. Mahmud, T. (2024) Reflections of high-and low-context marketing communication styles in YouTube video ads: A comparative study of FMCG companies in Finland and India.
22. Rashidov, A., and Kholmirezayev, N. (2023) 'HOW TO OPEN A BUSINESS IN GERMANY', *Science technology and Digital finance*, 1(4), pp. 442-447.
23. Thang, D. N. (2024) 'Cultural proximity and cross - border banking flows', *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 29(4), 4520-4539.
24. Vesper-Gräske, M. (2021) 'Business and Human Rights—Recent Trends in Germany', *European Criminal Law Review*, 11, pp:63-72.
25. Visola, S., and Abduganieva, J. (2024) 'THE LANGUAGE OF BUSINESS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CORPORATE JARGON IN ENGLISH AND GERMAN', *THE THEORY OF RECENT SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN THE FIELD OF PEDAGOGY*, 2(21), 348-356.