

The Enemy Within: Thatcher's Vision and State Response

In 1984, when the UK was swept industrial and political unrest, Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher famously spoke of the "enemy within." This phrase, which is often attributed to her speech during the miners' strike, sums up how she saw the threats to British society from within and how her government was determined to deal with them. This essay goes into detail about the people and social groups that Thatcher had in mind, the ideas that made her think this way, and the methods that the government used to try to stop these threats. On a personal note, I still remember how Bulgarian state TV was showing reports about British miners who were invited by our communist party apparatchiks to spend some time with their families in our Black Sea resorts during the summer.

Britain had a lot of big economic and social problems in the early 1980s. The country was hit hard by high inflation, unemployment, and the fall of industries. When Thatcher's Conservative government took office in 1979, it decided to pursue transformational economic reform that was meant to weaken trade unions, loosen restrictions on businesses, and support the free market. A lot of people were against these measures, especially in the labor movement, which saw them as direct attacks on workers' rights and the ability of the working class to make a decent living. The economic strategies of the Conservative government led by Margaret Thatcher became known as "Thatcherism." Before she came to power Keynesian economic policies, which stress government involvement and welfare state ideas, had been widely implemented in Britain and heavily promoted by its ruling elite. In the late 1970s, however, Britain was dealing with high inflation, high unemployment, and slow economic growth, which is often called "stagflation." Thatcher's government tried to change these trends through monetary policies that focused on lowering public spending and controlling inflation.

As I stated, the government's policies provoked strong reaction from the workers which culminated in the miners' strike in 1984 and 1985 led by a popular leader by the name of Arthur Scargill and the National Union of Mineworkers (NUM). The strike, which lasted almost a year, was in response to the government's plans to shut down coal mines that weren't making enough money. This would have put thousands of jobs at risk and destroyed the towns whose population worked in those mines.

Thatcher's comment about the "enemy within" was mostly aimed at the miners on strike and their leaders. She didn't just see the strike as a disagreement over work; she saw it as a serious threat to the state's power and the rule of law. The language made it sound like the miners on strike and the people who supported them were plotting to bring down the democratically elected government and to destabilize the very foundations of the country.

The miners' strike was just the most visible confrontation in the wider battle between the government and trade unions. Under Scargill's direction, the NUM was set on fighting the closure of mines because they saw it as an attack on the working class and their towns. The government, on the other hand, saw the strike as a fight over who should run Britain: the trade unions or the elected government? There were fights, mass picketing, and a growing rift in British society during the strike.

Thatcher didn't like the unions because they had a lot of power and exercised some control over British business and politics. Many conservatives thought that unions had too much power by the late 1970s and were holding the country hostage with regular strikes and demands. Thatcher wanted to limit this power so that economic change could happen more easily and management could take back control of the production process.

The government had many ways to deal with what it saw as a threat from trade groups. First, it passed a number of laws that were meant to weaken unions. The Employment Acts of 1980 and 1982, along with the Trade Union Act of 1984, limited picketing, required secret votes for strikes, and made it possible to sue unions for illegal strike activities. The goal of these laws was to make it harder for unions to plan and keep up strikes, which would make it harder for them to question government policies.

During the miners' strike, police were used a lot to control and often stop picket lines and protests. The police were given a lot of power to arrest strikers and detain them, if necessary, which led to a lot of fights and claims of brutality. The police were sent in big groups to key sites and they used aggressive tactics to break up the strike and disperse the strikers.

To lessen the effects of the strike, the government carefully stored coal and made sure there was access to other energy sources. This made it harder for the miners to get what they wanted. The government wanted to make people feel less sorry for the strikers and put more pressure on them to go back to work by limiting the damage the strike did to the economy.

The government, with a lot of help from the media, used lies to make the striking miners look

violent, unreasonable, and unpatriotic, which turned people against them. Media stories about strikers often made them look like a danger to national security, focusing on violent and illegal actions. The government did a good job through the media when it came to changing people's views about the unions and other opposition groups. The media often showed striking workers and left-wing campaigners as annoying and dangerous, which influenced the support of the public for the government policies. The government's communication strategy was meant to make union leaders look bad and make it look like the government was keeping the peace.

The government also tried to make it harder for striking workers and their unions to pay their bills by limiting their resources. Withholding benefits from miners on strike and their families made things very hard for them, which forced them to go back to work. A big part of the government's plan was to weaken the resolve of strikers and their allies by putting economic pressure on them.

Thatcher's idea of the "enemy within" included other groups and ideas she saw as threats to her vision of a free-market, capitalist society, even though the miners' strike was the main focus at the time. Among these were people and groups that pushed for socialist policies were seen as getting in the way of Thatcher's economic plans. People often said that the Labour Party, especially its left-wing wing, supported or was sympathetic to trade union violence and government power over businesses. Thatcher's government tried to silence these groups through politics and laws, saying they were stuck in an old economic model that wasn't working anymore. Again, speaking from personal experience I have to say that the government wasn't far away from the truth on this one. I personally met a lot of British who, especially after the first few years after the fall of the communist regime in Bulgaria lamented its demise and the lofty (according to them) ideas it represented. Some of these people were participants in the miners' strike of 1984 or active in other left-wing groups.

Some intellectuals and academics didn't agree with Thatcher's policies and pushed for different ways of running the economy and society. The government of Thatcher saw these critics as hurting the public's trust in its policies. It attempted to enact measures that would diminish the influence of these left-leaning academics on the public debate and education. There were also these groups that fought for more civil freedoms and against government surveillance and police practices. In response the government increased its oversight of these groups' activities and in some cases even suppressed them. A big part of the government's operations was the use of

security services to learn more about civil rights activists and keep an eye on what they were doing. Furthermore, Thatcher's government didn't think twice about using the courts to hurt the unions. Legal action was taken against unions for breaking the new strict rules, which led to big fines and limits on what the unions could do legally. A key tool in the government's arsenal was the use of injunctions and legal challenges to stop union operations and drain their resources.

Conservative leader Margaret Thatcher considered the leftists the "enemy within" because she strongly believed in conservative ideas. She thought that the person was more important than the group, that free markets worked well, and that too much government involvement in the economy was bad. Because of this way of thinking, she saw trade unions and other socialist groups as naturally bad for economic growth and personal freedom.

Thatcher also wanted to change Britain's policies because she thought the country was becoming less moral. She wanted people to be self-sufficient, work hard, and rely less on government welfare. In this situation, trade unions and left-wing campaigners were seen as encouraging a culture of entitlement and dependence, which went against her idea of a society where people worked hard and owned their own businesses.

Friedrich Hayek and Milton Friedman, two conservative thinkers who said that government interference in the economy stifled individual initiative and economic efficiency, had a big impact on Thatcher's views. Thatcher agreed with these ideas because she thought a free-market economy would make things better and bring about new ideas. The goal of her programs was to shrink the government, lower government spending, and boost private business.

The way Thatcher dealt with the "enemy within" changed British society and politics in deep and long-lasting ways. The loss of the miners' strike was a big blow to the power of trade unions, which completely changed the way people work in the UK. Because Thatcher's policies worked to weaken unions, they made way for more neoliberal changes, such as privatizing state-owned businesses and further deregulation of the economy. The result of Thatcher's policies was not the increase of private small-business entrepreneurship though but increasing control over the UK industries by big international corporations who now did not have to worry about the union demands for better working conditions and higher pay.

Her reforms really changed the British economy after the resistance was crushed.

Immediately after the miners' strike, union membership and their influence saw a significant

decrease. It became much harder for unions to get better terms for their members, and the number of strikes and other industrial activities dropped sharply. The neoliberal restructuring of the economy, which included the privatization of state-owned businesses, deregulation, and a move toward service-based industries, was made worse by the weakening of union power.

But these changes also had big effects on society and the economy. Many mining towns that were dependent on the coal business saw their economic foundations destroyed and as a result their communities fell apart. When mines shut down, a lot of people lost their jobs and became poor. This caused a lot of social problems, like more crime, mental health problems, alcohol and drug abuse and family breakdowns. Many former mining regions are still having trouble with economic and social problems because of these closures.

The overall effect on the job market was a move toward type of jobs we see today: no stability, no permanent contract, gig jobs where you could be let go at a moment's notice. Part-time, temporary, and "gig economy" jobs have grown while stable, well-paid industrial jobs have gone down. People thought that this freedom would make the economy more efficient and competitive, but if Thatcher's reforms did that it was at the expense of the working people.

The fact that Thatcher was able to beat the miners and push through her economic plan had big political and ideological effects. Neoliberal economic policies became the mainstay of British politics after the Conservative Party won elections in 1987 and 1992, solidifying its control over the government.

On the other hand, the Labour Party went through a time of reflection and change. When the miners lost and the labor movement as a whole went downhill, Labour had to rethink its long-standing ties with the unions and its dedication to socialist policies. The party moved toward the middle ground under Neil Kinnock and later Tony Blair. It adopted more market-friendly policies and started calling itself the "New Labour." This change was a big part of Labour's election victory in 1997, but it also meant a big ideological shift away from its traditional roots.

The way Thatcher talked about the "enemy within" also had an effect on how people talked about politics in general. In British politics, the idea of "internal threats" to national security and prosperity came up a lot. It affected discussions about immigration, terrorism, and social policy. The focus on law and order, personal responsibility, and the risks of collectivism became lasting parts of Conservative thought.

Thatcher's crusade against the "enemy within" had a big effect on culture as well. The 1980s

struggles, including the miners' strike, were seen as a sign of a larger cultural clash between different visions of British society. In Britain, the decline of traditional working-class communities and the rise of a more individualistic, consumer-focused society could be seen in many areas of life, from literature and music to art and media.

A lot of movies, videos, books, and songs have been made about the miners' strike, and they usually show the strikers as heroes fighting against impossible odds. This cultural memory has helped to keep the strike's memory alive by showing how hard it is for working-class communities to adapt to changes in the economy.

People still argue about the long-term effects of Thatcher's policies on the British economy. Reform supporters say that the changes sparked the British economy's growth and made it stronger, more competitive, and more flexible. The move toward a service-based economy, especially in banking and technology, is seen as a major reason for the growth of the economy after the 1980s.

But critics point out that these changes have led to more inequality, differences between regions, and social unrest. A lot of economic growth has happened in London and the South East, leaving many other areas behind. This has made people feel divided and unhappy. Many skilled jobs have been lost and the industrial base of the country has been decimated.

Also the emphasis on financial services helped the economy grow, but it has also made it more vulnerable to financial crises, like the one that happened in 2008. The focus on short-term profits

The legal and governmental changes made by Thatcher during her time in office changed British law and labor relations for a long time to come. The restrictions on union activities and the introduction of measures such as secret ballots for strikes and limits on picketing became important parts of British labor law. These changes have made it significantly more difficult for unions to organize industrial action and have contributed to the decline in union membership and influence.

All of these changes have made it a lot harder for unions to plan strikes and have helped to lower the number of union members and their power.

Changes to welfare and employment law that reflected Thatcher's view that people are ultimately responsible for their successes or failures also became an important part of British legal society. Later governments have been affected by the move toward a harsher approach to welfare and a

greater focus on incentivizing people to find work. This shift continues to shape social welfare policies to this day.

Thatcher's fight against the "enemy within" was also felt around the world. Other countries, especially those going through similar economic problems, paid close attention to her economic strategies and her battle with the trade unions. Neoliberal changes were sparked by the success of Thatcherism and were carried out in other countries, especially in the US under Ronald Reagan. It also had an effect on the workers' rights movements around the world. The British miners' defeat was a big blow to union solidarity around the world. It showed how powerful government can be and how hard it is to keep up strike action when the government is determined to stop it.

Conclusion

When you think about Thatcher's impact, it's clear that the times she saw the "enemy within" and spoke out against it were turning points in British history. The British business, society, and politics were all completely changed by her policies. They have an impact on current debates even today although I must say, I don't think that even the Conservative Party follows her model of governance and her economic principles anymore.

Still strong central authority, support for neoliberal economics, and a readiness to face resistance head-on were all features of Thatcher's style of government that other leaders have copied. On another side note, the "Iron Lady" was extremely popular among women in the Soviet Union and even the all-male Politburo they had grudging respect for her personality and her achievements. I remember an interview with her that I watched on the Soviet TV where the Soviet journalist was so differential to her and paid her so many complements, both personally and on behalf of the Soviet women, that one would be forgiven if he thought this was in interview with some high-level female communist leader from a brotherly, socialist country.

People in Britain still think a lot about Thatcher's guiding principles defining her domestic and foreign policy: individualism, market ideals, and a strong response from the government to dissent. Maybe the new home of her principles of governance would be the Reform Party. Only time will tell.

It is also clear that her policies also came with tremendous costs, especially when it comes to social stability and inequality in the regions. Many parts of the country are deeply divided and feel like they don't have a voice because of the changes in the 1980s. Today's policymakers have to deal with these legacies while also managing the complicated economic and social world of

the 21st century.

When looking at Thatcher's fight against the "enemy within," from the perspective of the present day one can't help but notice how prophetic she sounded. While she may have won the battle in her days, the "enemy within" won the war. The present-day UK grapples with uncontrolled immigration, constantly falling standards of living and restrictions on free speech that are similar to the ones we had in Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union. The rebranded communists, who have always been in abundance in the universities even during Thatcher's time, are brainwashing the young kids to hate their race and their glorious heritage and if the trend continues everything that Thatcher feared would happen with Britain if the enemy within is not defeated, would come to pass. Labour, defeated by Thatcher in the 1980s, changed its ideology, totally abandoned the White working class and today it actually views them as enemies because the supposed labourists have imported new voters and their old base is not needed anymore.

Bibliography

MacGregor, Ian K and Rodney Tyler. *The Enemies Within: The Story of the Miners' Strike, 1984–1985* (London: Collins, 1986).

McSmith, Andy. *No Such Thing as Society: A History of Britain in the 1980s* (London: Constable, 2011).

Mirowski, Philip. *Never Let a Serious Crisis Go to Waste: How Neoliberalism Survived the Financial Meltdown* (London: Verso, 2013).

Gamble, Andrew. *The Free Economy and the Strong State: The Politics of Thatcherism* (Basingstoke: Macmillan Education 1988)

Gilmour, Ian. *Dancing with Dogma: Britain under Thatcherism* (London: Simon & Schuster, 1992).

Evans, Eric J. *Thatcher and Thatcherism* (London: Routledge, 1997).

Peter Wilsher. A. Deutsch, *Strike: Thatcher, Scargill, and the miners* (London: Sunday Times).

Milne, S. *The enemy within: MI5, Maxwell and the Scargill affair* (London: Verso Books, 1994)