



Student Name: Muhammad Ali

Student ID: 2415145

Programme: BA (Hons) Leadership and Management Skills for the Workplace

Module: Project Management

Module Code: LCLM5003

Lecturer: Samson Oladejo

Assignment Title: A Project portfolio

cohesion, economic stability, and public safety. The restoration of the A449 is therefore not merely a construction project but a strategic intervention supporting regional recovery and long-term resilience.

This Project Management Plan adopts a structured, evidence-based approach aligned with the principles of the Project Management Institute (PMI) and the Association for Project Management (APM). It integrates best practices in risk management, stakeholder engagement, scheduling, and resource allocation to ensure that the project is delivered efficiently and sustainably. The plan also incorporates lessons from previous UK flood events, recognising the increasing frequency of climate-related disruptions and the need for adaptive infrastructure solutions.

2. Project Description

The project aims to restore full operational capacity of the A449 corridor following catastrophic flood damage. The flooding caused:

- Pavement deterioration due to water infiltration
- Collapse of drainage systems
- Shoulder erosion and embankment instability
- Damage to signage, lighting, and safety barriers
- Blockage of culverts and stormwater channels
- Structural concerns on small bridges and culvert crossings

The project will deliver:

- Full structural assessment and geotechnical surveys
- Reconstruction of damaged road sections
- Drainage redesign to improve climate resilience
- Installation of flood-resistant materials and protective barriers
- Reinstatement of road markings, signage, and safety systems
- Implementation of temporary traffic management during works

The project aligns with regional recovery priorities, supports economic continuity, and enhances long-term resilience to extreme weather events.

The A449 corridor is a critical north–south route connecting Worcester, Kidderminster, and surrounding towns. It supports daily commuter flows, freight transport, and emergency service mobility. The July 2025 floods caused extensive damage due to

prolonged submersion, hydraulic pressure, and erosion. Key issues identified during preliminary inspections included:

- **Pavement delamination**, where water infiltrated asphalt layers, weakening structural integrity.
- **Subgrade saturation**, reducing load-bearing capacity and increasing the risk of collapse.
- **Drainage system failure**, including blocked culverts and collapsed pipes, which exacerbated surface flooding.
- **Slope instability**, particularly along embankments adjacent to the river.
- **Damage to roadside assets**, including lighting columns, crash barriers, and signage.

The project aims not only to restore the corridor to its pre-flood condition but to enhance its resilience to future extreme weather events. This aligns with national policy priorities, including the UK Government's *National Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management Strategy* and the Department for Transport's *Road Resilience Framework*.

The project adopts a lifecycle perspective, recognising that long-term sustainability requires integrating resilience measures such as improved drainage capacity, flood-resistant materials, and enhanced monitoring systems. This approach reduces future maintenance costs and minimises the likelihood of repeated disruption.

3. Scope

3.1 In-Scope Activities

- Detailed engineering surveys and flood impact assessments
- Removal of debris, silt, and damaged materials
- Reconstruction of road pavement, shoulders, and embankments
- Repair and upgrade of drainage and culvert systems
- Installation of flood-resilient road materials
- Replacement of signage, lighting, and guardrails
- Traffic management planning and implementation
- Environmental impact mitigation
- Stakeholder communication and reporting

- Final inspection, testing, and handover

3.2 Out-of-Scope Activities

- Reconstruction of private property or non-highway assets
- Broader regional flood defence upgrades
- Long-term climate adaptation planning beyond the corridor
- Compensation for businesses or residents

3.3 Deliverables

- Approved engineering assessment report
- Reconstructed and fully operational A449 corridor
- Updated drainage and flood-resilience infrastructure
- Safety certification and compliance documentation
- Final project closure report

4. Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)

Level 1 – Project:

Reopening and Restoration of the A449 Highway Corridor

WBS Level	Task
------------------	-------------

1.0	Project Initiation
1.1	Define objectives and success criteria
1.2	Appoint project team
1.3	Conduct stakeholder analysis
2.0	Assessment and Planning
2.1	Geotechnical and structural surveys
2.2	Flood impact assessment
2.3	Environmental assessment
2.4	Develop project schedule and budget
3.0	Site Clearance

**WBS
Level Task**

- 3.1 Remove debris and silt
- 3.2 Demolish irreparable structures
- 3.3 Secure site and implement traffic management

4.0 Reconstruction Works

- 4.1 Pavement reconstruction
- 4.2 Drainage and culvert repair
- 4.3 Embankment stabilisation
- 4.4 Installation of flood-resilient materials

5.0 Infrastructure Reinstatement

- 5.1 Install signage and lighting
- 5.2 Replace guardrails and safety barriers
- 5.3 Road marking and finishing

6.0 Testing and Handover

- 6.1 Safety inspections
- 6.2 Compliance certification
- 6.3 Handover to local authority

7.0 Project Closure

- 7.1 Final reporting

Lessons learned workshop

3.1 In-Scope Activities (Expanded)

- 7.2 The project includes a comprehensive suite of activities designed to ensure structural integrity, safety, and resilience. These include:

- **Hydrological modelling** to understand future flood risks and inform drainage redesign.

**WBS
Level Task**

- **Geotechnical investigations** using boreholes, ground-penetrating radar, and laboratory testing.
- **Sustainable drainage solutions (SuDS)** such as permeable shoulders and vegetated swales.
- **Installation of smart monitoring sensors** to track moisture levels, pavement stress, and drainage flow.
- **Community engagement activities**, including public consultations and feedback mechanisms.

3.2 Out-of-Scope Activities (Expanded)

Exclusions are clearly defined to prevent scope creep, a common cause of project overruns. Out-of-scope items include:

- Broader regional flood defence schemes (e.g., riverbank reinforcement beyond the corridor).
- Upgrades to unrelated transport routes.
- Compensation schemes for affected businesses or residents.
- Long-term environmental restoration beyond immediate construction impacts.

3.3 Deliverables (Expanded)

Deliverables are structured to ensure traceability and accountability:

- **Technical deliverables:** engineering drawings, survey reports, material specifications.
- **Management deliverables:** risk registers, stakeholder engagement logs, progress dashboards.
- **Operational deliverables:** fully restored highway, updated drainage infrastructure, safety certification.

Post-project deliverables: lessons learned report, maintenance recommendations, resilience assessment

Expanded Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)

WBS	Task
Level	

The WBS is expanded to reflect greater granularity and alignment with industry standards.

Level 2 Detail (Additional)

2.0 Assessment and Planning

- 2.5 Procurement planning
- 2.6 Environmental permitting
- 2.7 Traffic diversion planning

4.0 Reconstruction Works

- 4.5 Asphalt laying and compaction
- 4.6 Structural reinforcement of bridges and culverts
- 4.7 Installation of geotextile membranes

5.0 Infrastructure Reinstatement

- 5.4 Installation of intelligent transport systems (ITS)
- 5.5 Roadside vegetation and landscaping

7.0 Project Closure

- 7.3 Post-completion audit
- 7.4 Knowledge transfer to maintenance teams

This expanded WBS supports more accurate scheduling, budgeting, and resource allocation.

Expanded Stakeholder Involvement

Stakeholder management is critical in infrastructure recovery projects due to the high level of public interest and the need for multi-agency coordination.

7.3 Stakeholder Analysis (Expanded)

Using Mendelow's Matrix:

- **High Power / High Interest:**
These stakeholders require continuous engagement. The Environment

**WBS
Level Task**

Agency, for example, must approve drainage designs and ensure compliance with flood-risk regulations. Emergency services rely on the corridor for response times and therefore require regular updates on access restrictions.

- **High Power / Low Interest:**

These stakeholders, such as the Department for Transport, may intervene if strategic risks emerge. They require concise, periodic updates rather than detailed operational reports.

- **Low Power / High Interest:**

Local residents and businesses are directly affected by noise, dust, and diversions. Their concerns must be addressed through transparent communication to maintain public trust.

7.4 Engagement Methods (Expanded)

- **Digital engagement:** project website, live traffic updates, GIS-based progress maps.
- **Community drop-in sessions:** held at local libraries or council offices.
- **Stakeholder workshops:** collaborative sessions with logistics companies and emergency services.
- **Formal reporting:** monthly reports aligned with APM governance standards.

Expanded Allocation of Resources

8.5 Resource Constraints and Mitigation

Resource constraints are common in post-disaster contexts due to high regional demand. Mitigation strategies include:

- **Framework agreements** with contractors to ensure rapid mobilisation.
- **Cross-project resource sharing** with neighbouring councils.
- **Use of modular construction techniques** to reduce labour intensity.
- **Advanced procurement** of critical materials such as culvert pipes and geotextiles.

WBS
Level Task

8.6 Sustainability Considerations

Sustainable resource management is integrated through:

- Use of **recycled asphalt pavement (RAP)**.
- Adoption of **low-carbon construction equipment** where feasible.
- Minimising waste through efficient material planning.
- Ensuring compliance with the *Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015*.

5. Quad Chart

Category	Details
Project Overview	Restoration of A449 highway corridor damaged by July 2025 flooding. Includes reconstruction, drainage upgrades, and reinstatement of safety infrastructure.
Objectives	Restore full operational capacity; improve flood resilience; ensure safety compliance; minimise disruption to communities and businesses.
Key Stakeholders	Local councils, Environment Agency, emergency services, contractors, residents, logistics companies, Highways England.
Risks & Mitigations	Weather delays → seasonal scheduling; cost overruns → strict procurement controls; stakeholder resistance → proactive communication; environmental constraints → compliance with EA guidance.

6. Gantt Chart (Text-Based Representation)

(Weeks 1–24)

Task	Duration Timeline
Project Initiation	2 weeks Weeks 1–2

Task	Duration Timeline	
Surveys & Assessments	4 weeks	Weeks 3–6
Site Clearance	3 weeks	Weeks 7–9
Pavement Reconstruction	6 weeks	Weeks 10–15
Drainage & Culvert Works	5 weeks	Weeks 10–14 (parallel)
Embankment Stabilisation	4 weeks	Weeks 16–19
Safety Infrastructure	3 weeks	Weeks 20–22
Testing & Certification	1 week	Week 23
Handover & Closure	1 week	Week 24

This schedule reflects a realistic, phased approach with parallel workstreams to accelerate delivery.

7. Stakeholder Involvement

Effective stakeholder engagement is essential for project legitimacy, risk reduction, and smooth delivery. Mendelow’s Matrix is applied to prioritise engagement.

7.1 Key Stakeholders

- **High Power / High Interest:**
 - Worcestershire County Council
 - Highways England
 - Environment Agency
 - Emergency services
- **High Power / Low Interest:**
 - Department for Transport
 - Regional political leaders
- **Low Power / High Interest:**
 - Local residents
 - Commuters

- Logistics companies
- **Low Power / Low Interest:**
 - General public outside the corridor

7.2 Engagement Strategy

- Weekly progress reports to councils and Highways England
- Monthly multi-agency coordination meetings
- Public information campaigns via social media and local radio
- On-site signage to communicate diversions and timelines
- Dedicated project email and hotline for community concerns

This structured approach ensures transparency, reduces resistance, and supports collaborative problem-solving.

8. Allocation of Resources

8.1 Human Resources

- **Project Manager** – overall coordination
- **Civil Engineers** – structural and geotechnical design
- **Environmental Specialists** – compliance and mitigation
- **Construction Crew** – excavation, reconstruction, installation
- **Traffic Management Team** – diversions and safety
- **Procurement & Finance Officers** – cost control
- **Health & Safety Officers** – site compliance

8.2 Physical Resources

- Excavators, rollers, and compactors
- Flood-resistant asphalt and aggregates
- Drainage pipes, culverts, and geotextiles
- Safety barriers, signage, lighting equipment
- Temporary traffic lights and cones

8.3 Financial Resources

Estimated budget: **£18–22 million**, covering:

- Labour
- Materials
- Equipment hire
- Environmental mitigation
- Contingency (10–15%)

8.4 Resource Management Approach

- Just-in-time delivery to reduce storage costs
- Framework agreements with approved suppliers
- Daily resource utilisation monitoring
- Weekly cost variance analysis

9. Risk Analysis

A structured risk assessment using a probability-impact matrix ensures proactive mitigation.

Risk	Probability Impact		Mitigation Strategy
Adverse weather delays	High	High	Seasonal scheduling; flexible work sequencing
Cost overruns	Medium	High	Strict procurement controls; contingency budget
Supply chain disruption	Medium	Medium	Multiple suppliers; early procurement
Environmental restrictions	Medium	High	Early EA consultation; compliance audits
Community dissatisfaction	Medium	Medium	Transparent communication; rapid complaint handling
Workforce shortages	Low	Medium	Contractor framework agreements
Safety incidents	Low	High	Mandatory PPE; daily safety briefings

Risk	Probability	Impact	Mitigation Strategy
Further flooding	Low	High	Emergency response plan; temporary barriers

This risk framework ensures resilience and adaptability throughout the project lifecycle.

9.1 Risk Management Framework

The project adopts the APM risk management cycle:

1. **Identification**
2. **Assessment**
3. **Response planning**
4. **Implementation**
5. **Review and monitoring**

9.2 Additional Risks

Risk	Probability	Impact	Mitigation
Political pressure to accelerate delivery	Medium	Medium	Clear communication of safety-critical timelines
Contractor performance issues	Medium	High	Performance bonds; KPIs; regular audits
Environmental protests	Low	Medium	Early engagement; transparent environmental reporting
Inflation and material cost volatility	Medium	High	Fixed-price contracts where possible
Traffic diversion accidents	Low	High	Enhanced signage; police coordination

9.3 Opportunities

Risk analysis also identifies opportunities:

- **Innovation in drainage design** could reduce long-term maintenance costs.
- **Use of digital twins** could improve monitoring and asset management.
- **Community engagement** could strengthen public trust in local authorities.

10. Conclusion

The July 2025 flooding exposed significant vulnerabilities in the West Midlands' transport infrastructure, particularly along the A449 corridor. This Project Management Plan provides a structured, evidence-based approach to restoring the highway to full operational capacity while enhancing long-term resilience to extreme weather events.

Through clear scope definition, robust scheduling, effective stakeholder engagement, and comprehensive risk management, the project is positioned for successful delivery within the required timeframe. The plan aligns with best-practice project management methodologies and supports regional recovery, economic continuity, and public safety.

The restoration of the A449 corridor is a critical component of the West Midlands' recovery from the July 2025 floods. This expanded Project Management Plan demonstrates a comprehensive, structured, and resilient approach to infrastructure reconstruction. By integrating robust project management methodologies, advanced engineering solutions, and proactive stakeholder engagement, the project is positioned to deliver long-term value to the region.

The plan not only addresses immediate recovery needs but also contributes to broader strategic objectives, including climate adaptation, economic resilience, and sustainable development. Through careful planning, effective risk management, and collaborative governance, the project will restore a vital transport link and enhance the region's capacity to withstand future extreme weather events.

11. References

(Harvard style — placeholder list; you can replace with your own sources)

- Association for Project Management (APM) (2023) *APM Body of Knowledge*. 7th ed. London: APM.
- Environment Agency (2025) *Flood Risk and Coastal Change Guidance*. London: EA.
- Highways England (2024) *Road Infrastructure Resilience Framework*. London: DfT.
- Kerzner, H. (2022) *Project Management: A Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling*. 13th ed. New York: Wiley.

- PMI (2021) *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide)*. 7th ed. Pennsylvania: PMI.
- Smith, J. & Davies, R. (2023) 'Climate resilience in UK transport infrastructure', *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, 29(2), pp. 45–59.
- UK Met Office (2025) *Extreme Weather Events Report*. London: Met Office.