

Student Name	Andrew Hurley		70% capped to 54%
Part 1 Littoral Zone (35%)		Mark	64% capped to 40% (late)
Sensible use of the literature. A good practical summary of each of the three platforms, with clear consideration of the unique challenges of the littoral environment. Good consideration of environmental hazards. More quantitative detail would be useful, particularly relating to resolution and task length. Good links to the environment, but the linkage to the employer could be stronger. CLARIS is an excellent choice. Best of both worlds, as you say. Good descriptions, although they could have been improved by adding appropriate diagrams. For this kind of academic report you don't need to number every paragraph (although I recognize that it's common practice in industry). Be careful when using the Microsoft Word reference manager. You need to enter each author separately or it will just give initials for every author but the final one. [18] Z. B. G. a. B. D. hen, "State-of-the-Art: DTM Generation Using Airborne LIDAR Data," <i>Sensors</i>, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 150, 2017.			
Part 2 a) Practical Exam (30 %)		Mark	88%
Excellent explain do area under survey investigation. Very good supporting imagery supplementing the overall analysis of the given location. Thermal image analysis provided a comprehensive overview of this data capture process (interestingly, noise from human bodies Figure 12 provides a good test case for equipment used.) Good concluding commentary on suitability of equipment. (maybe provide table of data points)			
Part 2 b) GIS Report (35%)		Mark	60% capped to 40% (late)
Not Provided (at hand in time) Clear images and a very well-presented final map. Landmines (of which 10 /12 were sited) are clearly noted on map. Excellent visuals produced via the GIS software and some sound discussion points noted. Perhaps a brief conclusion would have enhanced the report.			