

MARKING CRITERIA and STUDENT FEEDBACK

This section details the assessment criteria. The *extent* to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks Available	Marks Awarded
General Comments		
Generally a well executed design of a database and its implementation within SQL Server. However, you are missing key elements from the rubric i.e., additional discussion on commits, rollbacks etc and the importance on multi-user issues. Indexing briefly discussed, but not fully explained/demonstrated. Or a simple case of not reading the rubric carefully enough - it just feels the report is not important	100	73
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
Rubric in clear on this element: "Mark will be awarded on your references to the literature to discuss the importance of the concepts of rollback, commit, transactions and indexes." - although indexing is briefly discussed, its importance is not obvious	10	2
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
No issues with the ERD except the link between products/categories - should this be a many to many, implementation with SQL Server would show the link table	20	18
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
Developed solution is a good representation of the case study - nice work on identifying the link table. Personally I would have includes a primary key in the product category table	20	18
4. Practical Application Skills		
A good execution of the design to becoming a reality. Lots of valid scripts, complete with check constraints/transactions and relevant indexing - only issue was to prove indexing works effectively and the use of triggers (minimal use noted)	30	25
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		
Although the structure/presentation of this report is of a good standard, there was some expectation that you included a discussion on the merits of a multi-user database (not included)	20	10

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		73
	Late Submission ?		
	1st/2nd/3rd attempt?	1st	