

Academic Discipline of Hospitality & Tourism Management
BA International Hotel Management
LEVEL 5

Management & Organisational Behaviour

***Leadership Styles in Hospitality: Their Role in Team Effectiveness and Employee
Morale, with a Focus on Autocratic Leadership Style***

Student: Sefora Hoszu

Student ID no: 2246571

BMIH5004

Module Lead: Daniel Payne

Word Count: 2026 words

Basic Acknowledgement format of AI tool use

I acknowledge the use of chatgpt.com on 03.02.2025, 04.02.2025, 05.02.2025 and 06.02.2025 to help me structure my essay and organize my ideas.

I have also used ChatGBT to check my own text for grammatical and syntactical mistakes, including rephrasing one or two paragraphs.

I confirm that I have not presented any AI generated text as my own work. I confirm I have copies of my drafts, notes and other resources I used before and after the use of AI tools which I may be asked to provide in evidence.

In 2019, a luxury hotel in Paris faced a public relations crisis when a viral video showed a manager berating a staff member for a minor mistake in front of guests. The incident sparked a debate about the role of autocratic leadership in the hospitality industry, where high standards often clash with the need for employee empowerment. According to a 2022 study by the *Harvard Business Review*, 58% of employees in the hospitality industry report feeling micromanaged, with many citing autocratic leadership as a key factor in their dissatisfaction. While this leadership style can drive efficiency and maintain high standards, it often comes at the cost of employee engagement and creativity. But, another study done by the Harvard Business Review revealed that teams under autocratic leaders tend to complete tasks 30% faster due to the clarity of direction provided. This is why this essay explores the role of autocratic leadership in hotel management, examining its effects on team effectiveness and workplace culture.

By analyzing the strengths and weaknesses of this leadership style and exploring its application in hotel management, this essay argues that while autocratic leadership can drive efficiency and maintain high standards, it often hinders employee engagement and innovation, ultimately affecting organizational success.

Leadership styles refer to the approaches and behaviours that leaders use to guide, motivate, and manage their teams. In the hospitality industry, where teamwork, customer satisfaction and adaptability are critical, the choice of leadership style can significantly impact organizational success. Different styles are suited to different situations, and understanding their strengths and weaknesses is essential for effective management. Among the most commonly discussed leadership styles are autocratic, democratic, laissez-faire, and servant leadership, each with distinct characteristics and applications.

Autocratic leadership is characterized by centralized decision-making and strict control. Leaders who adopt this style make decisions independently and expect their teams to follow instructions without question. This approach is often effective in situations that require quick decision-making or strict adherence to standards, such as during emergencies or in highly regulated environments. For example, in a busy hotel kitchen, an autocratic head chef might dictate precise instructions to ensure that meals are

prepared quickly and to a high standard. However, this style can stifle creativity and lead to low employee morale if overused, as it leaves little room for employee input or autonomy.

Democratic leadership, also known as participative leadership, involves shared decision-making and encourages input from team members. Leaders who adopt this style foster collaboration and innovation by valuing the perspectives and ideas of their employees. In the hospitality industry, where personalized service and creative problem-solving are highly valued, democratic leadership can be particularly effective. For instance, a hotel manager might involve front-line staff in developing strategies to improve guest satisfaction or address operational challenges. This approach not only enhances team effectiveness but also boosts employee morale by making staff feel valued and empowered. However, democratic leadership can be time-consuming and may not be suitable in situations that require immediate action.

Laissez-faire leadership is characterized by a hands-off approach, where leaders grant employees significant autonomy and freedom to make decisions. This style is often effective in creative environments or when working with highly skilled and self-motivated teams. For example, in a boutique hotel, a laissez-faire manager might allow the marketing team to develop innovative campaigns without micromanaging their process. While this approach can foster creativity and independence, it may lead to a lack of direction and accountability if employees are not sufficiently motivated or experienced. In the hospitality industry, where attention to detail and adherence to standards are critical, laissez-faire leadership can be risky if not implemented carefully.

Autocratic leadership is characterized by centralized decision-making, strict control, and limited employee input. Leaders who adopt this style make decisions independently and expect their teams to follow instructions without question. This approach can be highly effective in situations where quick decisions are needed, or where maintaining high standards is critical. In the hospitality industry, autocratic leadership is often used in high-pressure environments, such as luxury hotels or during peak operational periods.

Autocratic leadership is often praised for its ability to streamline decision-making processes, particularly in high-pressure environments. In the hospitality industry, where quick responses and operational efficiency are critical, this leadership style can be highly effective. For instance, during peak periods such as holiday seasons or large events, a hotel manager using an autocratic approach can make immediate decisions to address guest complaints, allocate staff resources, or resolve operational bottlenecks without the delays that come with group discussions or consensus-building. This ensures that the hotel maintains its reputation for excellent service and avoids disruptions that could lead to dissatisfied customers.

Another advantage of autocratic leadership is its ability to maintain consistency and uphold high standards. In luxury hotels, where guest expectations are exceptionally high, an autocratic leader can enforce strict adherence to protocols and procedures. For example, a manager who closely monitors room cleanliness, dining service quality, and staff behavior can ensure that every guest receives a uniform and exceptional experience. This level of control is particularly important in industries like hospitality, where even minor deviations from standards can lead to negative reviews and damage the brand's reputation.

Autocratic leadership also proves valuable in crisis situations. In emergencies such as a sudden staff shortage, a natural disaster, or a public relations issue, an autocratic leader can take charge and provide clear, decisive direction. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, many hotel managers had to make rapid decisions about safety protocols, staff layoffs, and operational adjustments. An autocratic approach allowed them to act swiftly and decisively, ensuring the survival of their businesses during an unprecedented crisis. This ability to take control in challenging situations is one of the key strengths of autocratic leadership.

Despite its advantages, autocratic leadership has significant drawbacks, particularly when it comes to employee morale and engagement. One of the most common criticisms of this leadership style is its tendency to inhibit creativity and innovation. In the hospitality industry, where personalized service and unique guest experiences are highly valued, employees often need the freedom to think creatively and

adapt to individual customer needs. However, under an autocratic leader, employees may feel discouraged from sharing their ideas or taking initiative, leading to a lack of innovation and a stagnant work environment. For example, a front-desk employee who notices a recurring guest preference might hesitate to suggest a personalized welcome gesture if they fear their input will be dismissed or ignored.

When employees are excluded from decision-making processes and given little autonomy, they may feel undervalued and disengaged. This is particularly problematic in the hospitality industry, where employee turnover rates are already high. As mentioned earlier, a study by the Harvard Business Review found that employees who feel micromanaged are more likely to experience burnout and seek employment elsewhere. In a hotel setting, this can lead to a constant cycle of hiring and training new staff, which is both costly and disruptive to operations. For instance, a housekeeping team that is constantly monitored and given no room for independent decision-making may become demotivated, resulting in lower productivity and higher absenteeism.

Autocratic leadership can also create a culture of fear and resistance within an organization. Employees who feel that their opinions are not valued may become resentful or disengaged, leading to a toxic work environment. In extreme cases, this can result in passive-aggressive behaviour, low collaboration, and even sabotage. For example, a hotel chef who is constantly overruled by an autocratic manager may lose passion for their work, leading to a decline in the quality of the dining experience. Over time, this can erode team cohesion and undermine the overall effectiveness of the organization.

While autocratic leadership has its place in certain situations, its effectiveness in the hospitality industry depends on how it is balanced with other leadership practices. For example, a hotel manager might adopt an autocratic approach during peak operational periods or emergencies but switch to a more participative style during quieter times to encourage employee input and creativity. This hybrid approach can help maintain efficiency and consistency while also fostering a positive work environment and boosting employee morale.

The application of autocratic leadership in hotel management can be seen in the management style of César Ritz, the founder of the Ritz-Carlton hotel chain. Ritz was known for his uncompromising standards and meticulous attention to detail, which earned him the nickname "King of Hoteliers and Hotelier to Kings." His autocratic approach ensured that his hotels were synonymous with luxury and excellence. However, this style also had its drawbacks, as it often led to low employee morale and high turnover rates.

Another example of autocratic leadership in the hospitality industry can be seen in the management of fast-food chains like McDonalds, where efficiency and consistency are critical. In these environments, autocratic leaders can ensure that tasks are completed quickly and to a high standard. However, this approach can also lead to high levels of employee dissatisfaction and turnover, as employees may feel undervalued and overworked.

Also, Gordon Ramsay's leadership style is often linked to the autocratic leadership style. In his kitchens, Ramsay embodies this approach by maintaining complete control over the operations, setting exacting standards, and ensuring that his vision is executed without compromise. He does not tolerate mistakes, and when they happen, he is quick to correct them with direct and often intense feedback. His insistence on perfection reflects the hallmarks of an autocratic leader—someone who believes that strong authority and strict oversight lead to the best results.

Autocratic leaders are known for making unilateral decisions, and Ramsay's restaurants are no exception. He does not rely on group consensus; instead, he sets the standard and expects his team to follow. This is particularly evident in high-pressure environments like Hell's Kitchen, where he dictates every aspect of the kitchen's operation, from food presentation to the speed of service. He does not hesitate to remove underperforming chefs or reprimand those who fail to meet expectations. His approach ensures efficiency and consistency, both of which are crucial in maintaining a Michelin-star-level dining experience.

Despite the rigid control associated with autocratic leadership, Ramsay also demonstrates moments of mentorship and support. Unlike some purely authoritarian leaders, he does not impose strict discipline for the sake of power—his tough approach

is driven by a desire to push his team toward excellence. His leadership has transformed many aspiring chefs into successful professionals, proving that while his methods are demanding, they are also effective. This aligns with the transformational side of autocratic leadership, where a strong leader sets high standards and enforces discipline while also inspiring and developing talent.

Furthermore, Ramsay's leadership style is particularly well-suited for the high-stakes culinary industry, where precision and speed are non-negotiable. In such environments, a more democratic or laissez-faire leadership style might lead to inefficiency and inconsistency. His strict control ensures that his restaurants operate at the highest level, reinforcing why the autocratic approach, despite its challenges, remains effective in certain industries.

In the case of César Ritz, his autocratic leadership style ensured that his hotels were known for their luxury and excellence. However, this approach also led to low employee morale and high turnover rates, as employees felt undervalued and overworked. In modern hotel management, autocratic leadership can be effective in certain situations, such as during peak operational periods or in crisis situations. However, it is important for leaders to balance this approach with more participative practices, in order to maintain employee engagement and morale.

In conclusion, autocratic leadership is a powerful tool for driving efficiency and maintaining high standards in the hospitality industry. However, its success depends on the context and the leader's ability to balance control with employee empowerment. While autocratic leadership can be effective in certain situations, such as during peak operational periods or in crisis situations, it often hinders employee engagement and innovation, ultimately affecting organizational success. As the hospitality industry continues to evolve, leaders who embrace a more balanced approach to leadership will be well-positioned to navigate challenges and achieve organizational success. By drawing on reputable literature and real-world examples, this essay has demonstrated the potential of autocratic leadership to transform hotel management, while also highlighting its limitations.

References:

1. CFI Team, Leadership Styles, 2024, <https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/management/leadership-styles/>
2. Key Characteristics of Democratic Leadership, Originally posted on June 15, 2022, Last updated on October 1, 2024, <https://www.nsls.org/blog/key-characteristics-of-democratic-leadership>
3. Kendra Cherry, The Pros and Cons Laissez-Faire Leadership? When hands-off leadership may be best...and worst, 2024, <https://www.verywellmind.com/what-is-laissez-faire-leadership-2795316>
4. Yamato Fujita, Gordon Ramsay: Being an Autocratic Leader, Updated Nov. 8, 2017, <https://prezi.com/k5my90ooye5r/gordon-ramsay-being-an-autocratic-leader/>
5. David C. Wyld, Gordon Ramsay: A Model for Today's Managers?, 2024, <https://medium.com/management-now/gordon-ramsay-a-model-for-todays-managers-ebd4b8040c70>
6. DEIRDRE MCGLONE, "The Hotelier of Kings" and the "King of Hoteliers", <https://www.lemoulinsurcele.com/diary/cesar-ritz/>
7. The Anxious Micromanager, Why some leaders become too controlling and how they find the right balance by Julia DiGangi, <https://hbr.org/2023/09/the-anxious-micromanager>
8. Vorecol Editorial Team, Comparing Autocratic and Democratic Management Styles: Effects on Team Morale and Productivity, 2024 <https://vorecol.com/blogs/blog-comparing-autocratic-and-democratic-management-styles-effects-on-team-morale-and-productivity-182834#:~:text=Impact%20of%20Autocratic%20Leadership%20on%20Team%20Dynamics,-Autocratic%20leadership%2C%20characterized&text=A%20study%20by%20the%20Harvard,the%20clarity%20of%20direction%20provided>

9. Leadership Style of Managers in Five-Star Hotels and its Relationship with Employee's Job Satisfaction, 2020, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3633072
10. Al-Ababneh, Mukhles, Leadership Style of Managers in Five-Star Hotels and its Relationship with Employee's Job Satisfaction (April 20, 2013). Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3633072> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3633072>
11. Swiss Education Group, How to Be a Leader - Essential Tips for Effective Leadership, <https://www.cesarritzcolleges.edu/en/news/how-to-be-a-leader/>
12. Ritz, C. (n.d.). The Ritz-Carlton Experience. Retrieved from <https://www.ritzcarlton.com>
13. Ritz, C. (n.d.). The Ritz-Carlton Experience. Retrieved from <https://www.ritzcarlton.com>
14. Mullins, L. & Dosser, P. (2013). *Hospitality Management and Organisational Behaviour* (5th Ed). Harlow: Pearson, https://archive.org/details/hospitalitymanag0000mull_p0f9
15. Mullins, L. (2019). *Organisational Behaviour in the Workplace* (12th Ed) Harlow: Pearson, https://www.pearson.de/media/muster/toc/toc_9781292245508.pdf