

Program:	MBA – Master of Business Administration International Business
Module:	Strategic Management
Module code:	LCMB7003
Assignment Title:	Strategic Management Report
Student Name:	Snehal Dineshbhai Parmar
Student ID:	2314434
Word Count:	4665
Task 1:	Group Task
Task 2:	Critically evaluate the emergence of “ Virtual Organisations”

TASK 2

CRITICAL EVALUATION OF THE EMERGENCE OF VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS	4
2.1 DRIVERS OF VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS	5
2.2 BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES	5
3. STRATEGIC PLANNING FOR VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS	6

3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL SCANNING	7
3.2 GOAL SETTING.....	7
3.3 STRATEGY FORMULATION.....	7
3.4 UNIQUE CONSIDERATIONS FOR VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS.....	8
3.5 ROLE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING.....	8
4. DESIGNING VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS.....	9
4.1 KEY ELEMENTS OF DESIGN.....	9
4.2 ORGANIZATIONAL MODELS	9
4.3 IMPORTANCE OF INCLUSIVITY AND COLLABORATION.....	10
5. LEADING VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS.....	11
5.1 CHALLENGES AND STRATEGIES FOR EFFECTIVE LEADERSHIP.....	11
5.2 ROLE OF LEADERS IN SETTING EXPECTATIONS AND COMMUNICATION NORMS.....	12
5.3 UTILIZING TECHNOLOGY FOR VIRTUAL LEADERSHIP.....	12
6. CONCLUSION.....	13
7. REERENCE.....	14

1. INTRODUCTION

Virtual organizations, also known as virtual teams or remote teams, are essential components of modern business environments (Dulebohn et al, 2017). They enable collaboration across geographical, temporal, and organizational boundaries through digital communication technologies (Bordia, 2017). The significance of virtual organizations lies in their ability to overcome traditional constraints, allowing access to a global talent pool, reducing costs, and increasing flexibility (Harvey et al, 2005).

In today's interconnected world, virtual organizations play a crucial role in helping companies adapt to rapidly changing market dynamics. They foster collaboration, innovation, and diversity, contributing to workforce inclusivity. Moreover, they promote environmental sustainability by reducing the need for physical office space and commuting (Dulebohn et al, 2017).

This coursework critically evaluates the strategic management issues related to virtual organizations. As businesses increasingly adopt virtual models, understanding these challenges and opportunities is essential. Through a strategic management perspective, this evaluation identifies best practices for navigating virtual work environments, offering insights into optimizing strategies, structures, and leadership for success in the digital age.

2. VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS

Virtual organizations are entities composed of individuals who collaborate across geographic, temporal, and organizational boundaries using digital communication technologies to achieve common goals (Camarinha-Matos, 2006). They represent a departure from traditional brick-and-mortar structures, relying heavily on technology to facilitate coordination and communication among dispersed team members (Lipnack, 2008). In virtual organizations, teams operate remotely, often without a centralized physical

location. Instead, they rely on digital tools such as video conferencing, project management software, and cloud-based storage to connect and collaborate (Dulebohn et al, 2017). These organizations can take various forms, including virtual teams within larger companies, entirely remote startups, or networks of freelancers working together on projects.

2.1 DRIVERS OF VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS

Several factors have driven the emergence of virtual organizations:

- **Globalization:** The interconnectedness of economies and markets has made it necessary for companies to access talent and resources from diverse locations. Virtual organizations allow businesses to overcome geographical barriers and tap into a global pool of skilled workers (Gyamfi et al, 2023).
- **Advancements in Technology:** The proliferation of digital communication tools, high-speed internet, and cloud computing has made remote collaboration more feasible and efficient. This technological infrastructure enables virtual teams to communicate in real-time, share documents, and collaborate on projects regardless of their physical location (Hu et al, 2020).
- **Changing Work Preferences:** Millennials and Generation Z, who make up a significant portion of the workforce, often prioritize flexibility and work-life balance (Adamovic et al, 2022). Virtual organizations offer the flexibility to work from anywhere, appealing to employees seeking autonomy and control over their schedules (Erkılınç, 2023).

2.2 BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES

Virtual organizations (VOs) provide benefits such as flexibility, talent access, and cost savings, but face challenges like communication issues, team cohesion, and data security. Effective management strategies are essential to maximize benefits and address these challenges (Chaudhari, 2010).

BENEFITS OF VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS

- **Increased Flexibility:** Virtual organizations offer flexibility in terms of work hours and location, allowing employees to balance work and personal life more effectively.
- **Access to Global Talent Pool:** By leveraging digital communication technologies, virtual organizations can tap into a diverse talent pool from around the world without being limited by geographical boundaries.
- **Reduced Overhead Costs:** Virtual organizations often have lower overhead costs compared to traditional brick-and-mortar companies, as they don't require physical office space or incur expenses related to utilities and maintenance.
- **Enhanced Productivity:** With the right tools and technologies, virtual teams can achieve high levels of productivity by eliminating time-consuming commutes and enabling focused work environments.

CHALLENGES OF VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS

- **Communication Barriers:** Virtual teams may face challenges in communication due to differences in time zones, cultural norms, and language barriers, leading to misunderstandings and delays in decision-making.
- **Team Cohesion and Collaboration:** Building team cohesion and fostering collaboration can be more challenging in virtual environments where face-to-face interaction is limited, potentially impacting creativity and innovation.
- **Technology Dependency:** Virtual organizations heavily rely on technology for communication and collaboration, making them vulnerable to disruptions such as internet outages or cyberattacks.
- **Managing Remote Teams:** Effectively managing remote teams requires strong leadership skills and the ability to establish trust, accountability, and motivation among team members who may be geographically dispersed.

3. STRATEGIC PLANNING FOR VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS

Strategic planning is crucial for virtual organizations to navigate the complex landscape of remote work, leverage technology effectively, and achieve their goals. This section discusses the strategic planning process for virtual organizations, including environmental scanning, goal setting, strategy formulation, and the unique considerations that come with managing remote teams (Duarte et al, 2011).

3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL SCANNING

Environmental scanning involves assessing the external factors that can impact a virtual organization's operations. This includes analyzing market trends, technological advancements, regulatory changes, and competitive forces. For virtual organizations, environmental scanning must also consider the remote work landscape, such as the availability of digital communication tools, the quality of internet infrastructure in different regions, and the cultural attitudes towards remote work. By understanding these factors, virtual organizations can identify opportunities and threats and adjust their strategies accordingly (Jelonek et al, 2011).

3.2 GOAL SETTING

Setting clear and achievable goals is essential for virtual organizations to align their efforts and measure success (Harwood, 2008).

1. Clear and Achievable Goals: Setting clear and achievable goals is crucial for virtual organizations to align efforts and measure success effectively (Harwood, 2008).
2. SMART Goals: Goals should be specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART), ensuring clarity and focus within virtual teams.
3. Focus Areas: Goals may include improving communication and collaboration, increasing productivity, and maintaining high levels of employee satisfaction.
4. Consideration of Challenges: Goal setting in virtual organizations should consider unique challenges like potential communication barriers and the need for flexibility in work arrangements.

3.3 STRATEGY FORMULATION

Strategy formulation involves determining how the organization will achieve its goals and objectives. For virtual organizations, this includes defining the roles and responsibilities of remote team members, establishing communication protocols, and selecting appropriate technology platforms for collaboration (Duarte et al, 2011). Strategies should also address challenges such as maintaining team cohesion, fostering a sense of belonging among

remote workers, and managing cultural differences in a geographically dispersed workforce.

3.4 UNIQUE CONSIDERATIONS FOR VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS

Managing remote teams requires special considerations that may not apply to traditional brick-and-mortar organizations. Virtual leaders must focus on building trust, fostering open communication, and providing adequate support to remote team members (Greenberg, 2007). Leveraging technology effectively is also critical, ensuring that virtual teams have access to the tools and resources they need to collaborate efficiently (Duarte et al, 2011). Additionally, virtual organizations must be mindful of cultural differences among team members and adapt their practices accordingly to promote inclusivity and diversity.

3.5 ROLE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING

Strategic planning plays a vital role in ensuring the long-term success and sustainability of virtual organizations (Zomorrodian, 2017).

- ***Ensuring Long-Term Success:*** Strategic planning helps virtual organizations anticipate challenges and set clear objectives for sustained growth and success.
- ***Overcoming Barriers:*** It enables organizations to overcome barriers to remote work by establishing effective communication channels and workflows.
- ***Aligning Strategies:*** Strategic planning aligns organizational strategies with goals, ensuring that virtual teams work towards common objectives.
- ***Agility and Responsiveness:*** It allows organizations to adapt quickly to changes in the external environment, remaining agile and responsive to new opportunities and threats.
- ***Guiding Growth:*** Ultimately, strategic planning guides the growth and development of virtual organizations in a digital and interconnected world.

4.DESIGNING VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS

4.1 KEY ELEMENTS OF DESIGN

Designing virtual organizations involves several key elements that shape their structure and functionality:

1. **Organizational Structure:** Virtual organizations need to define clear roles, responsibilities, and reporting lines to ensure efficient operations and accountability (Ale Ebrahim et al, 2009).
2. **Communication Channels:** Effective communication is essential for virtual teams. Choosing appropriate communication tools and establishing protocols for information sharing are critical (Pauleen et al, 2001).
3. **Workflow Processes:** Streamlining workflow processes ensures smooth operations and coordination among team members. This includes setting up workflows for project management, task assignments, and decision-making (Sengupta et al, 1998).

4.2 ORGANIZATIONAL MODELS

Virtual organizations can adopt various organizational models to facilitate remote work:

- **Fully Virtual Teams:** These organizations operate entirely online, with no physical office. All team members work remotely, often across different locations or time zones (Cascio, 2000).
- **Hybrid Teams:** Hybrid models combine remote work with some in-person interaction. Some team members may work from a central office, while others work remotely (Afflerbach, 2020).
- **Distributed Teams:** Distributed teams have members spread across different locations, possibly in different countries. They rely heavily on virtual communication and collaboration tools (Breu et al, 2004).

4.3 IMPORTANCE OF INCLUSIVITY AND COLLABORATION

Designing virtual organizations that prioritize inclusivity, engagement, and collaboration is crucial for their success. Inclusivity is essential to ensure that all team members feel valued and included, regardless of their location or background (Ale Ebrahim et al, 2009). By creating an inclusive environment, virtual organizations can foster a sense of belonging and promote diversity of thought, which can lead to better decision-making and innovation. Additionally, keeping remote team members engaged requires intentional efforts, such as regular communication, virtual team-building activities, and opportunities for social interaction (Dinh et al, 2021). This helps maintain morale and motivation among team members, even when working from different locations. Effective collaboration is also key to the success of virtual organizations (Lepsinger et al, 2010). This involves fostering trust among team members, promoting open communication, and providing tools and platforms that facilitate collaboration and knowledge sharing. By prioritizing inclusivity, engagement, and collaboration, virtual organizations can build cohesive teams that work together effectively despite physical distance and different time zones (Lipnack et al, 2008).

5.LEADING VIRTUAL ORGANIZATIONS

Leading virtual organizations presents unique challenges and requires tailored strategies to ensure effectiveness and success. Here's a discussion of these challenges and strategies, supported by relevant citations (Malhotra et al, 2007):

5.1 CHALLENGES AND STRATEGIES FOR EFFECTIVE LEADERSHIP

1. **Building Trust:** One of the primary challenges in virtual leadership is building trust among team members who may not have face-to-face interactions. Research by Jarvenpaa and Leidner (1999) highlights the importance of trust in virtual teams and suggests that leaders can foster trust by demonstrating competence, reliability, and integrity through consistent communication and follow-through on commitments (Flavian et al, 2009).
2. **Fostering Team Cohesion:** Virtual teams may struggle with building cohesion due to the lack of physical presence. Leaders can address this challenge by creating opportunities for team members to get to know each other on a personal level and by facilitating virtual team-building activities. According to (Andersson, 2017) shared experiences and mutual goals are crucial for fostering team cohesion in virtual environments.
3. **Providing Remote Support:** Remote team members may feel isolated or disconnected, requiring leaders to provide adequate support (Terkamo-Moisio et al, 2022). This includes offering coaching, feedback, and resources to help team members overcome challenges. A study by (Hertel et al, 2005) suggests that supportive leadership behaviors, such as providing emotional support and addressing individual needs, contribute to the success of virtual teams

5.2 ROLE OF LEADERS IN SETTING EXPECTATIONS AND COMMUNICATION NORMS

Effective leadership in virtual organizations involves setting clear expectations and establishing communication norms to guide team interactions. Leaders should communicate goals, deadlines, and performance expectations to ensure alignment and accountability (Kayworth et al, 2002). Additionally, establishing communication norms, such as response times for emails and availability for virtual meetings, helps streamline collaboration and minimize misunderstandings. According to a study by Gibson and Cohen (2003), clear communication norms contribute to improved coordination and cohesion in virtual teams (Salomoni, n.d.).

5.3 UTILIZING TECHNOLOGY FOR VIRTUAL LEADERSHIP

Technology plays a crucial role in virtual leadership, enabling communication, collaboration, and team management. Video conferencing platforms, such as Zoom and Microsoft Teams, allow leaders to conduct virtual meetings and facilitate face-to-face interactions. Project management software, such as Asana and Trello, helps track progress, assign tasks, and manage deadlines. Virtual team-building activities, such as online games and virtual coffee breaks, can enhance team cohesion and morale. Leveraging these tools effectively can enhance leadership effectiveness in virtual organizations (Cowan, 2014).

Effective leadership in virtual organizations requires addressing challenges such as building trust, fostering team cohesion, and providing remote support, while also setting clear expectations, establishing communication norms, and leveraging technology for communication and collaboration. By implementing these strategies, leaders can effectively lead virtual teams and drive organizational success.

6.CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this coursework has highlighted the importance of strategic management in the success of virtual organizations by addressing challenges, setting clear goals, designing inclusive structures, and providing effective leadership. Looking forward, advancements in technology such as artificial intelligence and virtual reality will shape how teams collaborate remotely. However, challenges like maintaining team cohesion and cybersecurity risks will persist. To thrive in the virtual landscape, organizations should invest in technology infrastructure, foster a culture of trust and collaboration, and provide ongoing support for remote teams. By embracing these recommendations, organizations can succeed in the evolving virtual business environment.

7.REFERENCE

- ❖ Adamovic, M., Gahan, P., Olsen, J., Gulyas, A., Shallcross, D. and Mendoza, A., 2022. Exploring the adoption of virtual work: the role of virtual work self-efficacy and virtual work climate. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(17), pp.3492-3525.
- ❖ Afflerbach, T., 2020. Hybrid virtual teams in shared services organizations. *Progress in IS*.
- ❖ Ale Ebrahim, N., Ahmed, S. and Taha, Z., 2009. Virtual teams: A literature review. *Australian journal of basic and applied sciences*, 3(3), pp.2653-2669.
- ❖ Andersson, E., 2017. Virtual Collaboration–The Paradigm of Modern Work Environment: Cohesion and Challenges in Distributed Teams.
- ❖ Bordia, N., 2017. *Role of technology selection in supporting collaboration and communication in globally distributed virtual teams* (Doctoral dissertation).
- ❖ Breu, K. and Hemingway, C.J., 2004. Making organisations virtual: the hidden cost of distributed teams. *Journal of Information Technology*, 19(3), pp.191-202.
- ❖ Camarinha-Matos, L.M., Afsarmanesh, H. and Ollus, M. eds., 2006. *Virtual Organizations: systems and practices*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- ❖ Cascio, W.F., 2000. Managing a virtual workplace. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 14(3), pp.81-90.
- ❖ Chaudhari, A., 2010. Benefits and challenges of Virtual Organisations. *Accessed at (PDF) Benefits and challenges of Virtual Organisations (researchgate. net)*.
- ❖ Cowan, L.D., 2014. E-Leadership: Leading in a virtual environment-Guiding principles for nurse leaders. *Nursing Economics*, 32(6), p.312.

- ❖ Dinh, J.V., Reyes, D.L., Kayga, L., Lindgren, C., Feitosa, J. and Salas, E., 2021. Developing team trust: Leader insights for virtual settings. *Organizational Dynamics*, 50(1), p.100846.
- ❖ Duarte, D.L. and Snyder, N.T., 2011. *Mastering virtual teams: Strategies, tools, and techniques that succeed*. John Wiley & Sons.
- ❖ Dulebohn, J.H. and Hoch, J.E., 2017. Virtual teams in organizations. *Human resource management review*, 27(4), pp.569-574.
- ❖ Erkılnç, G., 2023. *Work-life balance study between generations and gender* (Master's thesis, Işık Üniversitesi).
- ❖ Flavian, C., Guinalíu, M. and Jordan, P., 2019. Antecedents and consequences of trust on a virtual team leader. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics*, 28(1), pp.2-24.
- ❖ Greenberg, P.S., Greenberg, R.H. and Antonucci, Y.L., 2007. Creating and sustaining trust in virtual teams. *Business horizons*, 50(4), pp.325-333.
- ❖ Gyamfi, N.Y.A., Stacey, R., Fitzsimmons, C.M. and Stahl, G.K., 2023. GLOBAL that enable BUSInESSES people to be more ARE mobile FASt-MOVInG than ever. Not PLACES only do with individuals technologies travel more frequently and connect with people from societal cultures that are different from their own, but as globalization dissolves geographical barriers, more individuals find themselves identifying with not only one culture, but with two or even more. Statistics indicate that this demographic is both large and growing. By 2021, more than 40 million people in OECD. *Readings and Cases in International Human Resource Management*, p.166.
- ❖ Harvey, M., Novicevic, M.M. and Garrison, G., 2005. Global virtual teams: A human resource capital architecture. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 16(9), pp.1583-1599.

- ❖ Harwood, G.G., 2008. Design principles for successful virtual teams. *NEMIRO, J. The handbook of high performance virtual teams: A toolkit for collaborating across boundaries. São Francisco: John Wiley & Sons*, pp.59-83.
- ❖ Hertel, G., Geister, S. and Konradt, U., 2005. Managing virtual teams: A review of current empirical research. *Human resource management review*, 15(1), pp.69-95.
- ❖ Hu, W.C., Bansal, B. and Kaabouch, N., 2020. Using Mobile and Wireless Computing to Facilitate Virtual Collaboration During a Pandemic. *J. Syst. Cybern. Infomatics*, 8, pp.273-288.
- ❖ Jelonek, D. and Chomiak-Orsa, I., 2011. Prerequisites for business environment scanning in virtual organizations. *Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego we Wrocławiu*, (205 Advanced Information Technologies for Management-AITM 2011: Information Systems in Business), pp.168-176.
- ❖ Kayworth, T.R. and Leidner, D.E., 2002. Leadership effectiveness in global virtual teams. *Journal of management information systems*, 18(3), pp.7-40.
- ❖ Lepsinger, R. and DeRosa, D., 2010. *Virtual team success: A practical guide for working and leading from a distance*. John Wiley & Sons.
- ❖ Lipnack, J. and Stamps, J., 2008. *Virtual teams: People working across boundaries with technology*. John Wiley & Sons.
- ❖ Malhotra, A., Majchrzak, A. and Rosen, B., 2007. Leading virtual teams. *Academy of Management perspectives*, 21(1), pp.60-70.
- ❖ Pauleen, D.J. and Yoong, P., 2001. Facilitating virtual team relationships via Internet and conventional communication channels. *Internet Research*, 11(3), pp.190-202.
- ❖ Salomoni, V., Virtual teams: a focus on their characteristics and functioning.
- ❖ Sengupta, K. and Zhao, J.L., 1998. Improving the communicational effectiveness of virtual organizations through workflow automation. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 3(1), pp.49-69.

- ❖ Terkamo-Moisio, A., Karki, S., Kangasniemi, M., Lammintakanen, J. and Häggman-Laitila, A., 2022. Towards remote leadership in health care: Lessons learned from an integrative review. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 78(3), pp.595-608.
- ❖ Zomorrodian, A., 2017. New Trends on Strategic Planning: Virtual Environment, Tech Innovation, Globalization & Organizational Performance. *Tech Innovation, Globalization & Organizational Performance* (March 4, 2017).

Task 1

Summary	1000 word interpretation
Precis	500 word percis
PPT slides	Analysis of Conclusion

Paper Title:

Global Innovation Systems—A conceptual framework for innovation dynamics in transnational contexts

Christian Binza, Bernhard Truffer

Question D) Analysis of the conclusion.

SUMMARY

The conclusion of the research provides a comprehensive analysis of the implications of the findings, discusses methodological challenges, and outlines policy implications. Here's a breakdown of the author(s)' interpretation of the results and their proposed next steps:

1] Interpretation of Results:

The authors believe that operationalizing the global innovation system (GIS) framework leads to the generation of new hypotheses regarding systemic innovation processes across regions, nations, and international arenas.

They argue that the GIS framework offers a rich heuristic for conducting empirically informed comparative analyses, particularly by allowing the re-interpretation of single-industry case studies from various innovation system traditions in a more theoretically informed, comparative perspective.

2] Linkage with Research Question:

The authors effectively link their findings with the research question by highlighting how the operationalization of the GIS framework generates novel hypotheses and sheds light on systemic innovation processes, thereby addressing the research agenda outlined in the introduction.

3] Identification of Limitations:

The authors acknowledge the partial and incomplete nature of their proposed research agenda, recognizing that further conceptual and methodological challenges need to be addressed. They specifically mention the methodological challenges associated with analysing the multi-layered topology of GIS.

4] Missed Points:

The authors provide a comprehensive overview of potential research directions and methodological challenges. However, they could have delved deeper into the potential limitations of their framework, such as its applicability across different industries or its sensitivity to variations in regional contexts.

5] Proposed Next Steps:

The authors propose several avenues for future research, including:

- Exploring in more depth how subsystems emerge within each GIS type, their regional variations, and the creation of system resources.
- Further investigating structural coupling as a key process in innovation system formation, including the role of system builders and intermediaries.
- Addressing power dynamics within GIS and their influence on innovation processes.
- Additionally, the authors emphasize the need to confront methodological challenges, such as analysing the activities of all actors within GIS and considering relevant networks and institutional contexts.
- The authors also discuss policy implications, suggesting that governance approaches and institutions should be tailored to the specific configuration of GIS within different industries.

6] Agreement:

Overall, the proposed next steps align with the research agenda outlined in the introduction, and they offer valuable insights for advancing scholarly understanding and informing policy interventions.

However, addressing the potential limitations of the GIS framework in more detail would strengthen the robustness of the research findings and their practical applicability.

Conclusion:

The conclusion of the article "Towards an industry-sensitive perspective on GIS evolution" provides a comprehensive analysis of the research findings and their implications. The authors begin by revisiting the main focus of their work, which is the tension between territorial embeddedness and spatially dispersed externality formation within the GIS framework. They then raise the question of whether GIS configurations are equally possible with the emergence and maturation of new technologies or if specific structures are more likely to develop based on technology and industry characteristics. To address this

question, they propose connecting the GIS framework with insights from the Systems of Innovation (SIS) and industry lifecycle traditions.

The authors argue that the GIS framework complements the existing supply-side explanations from SIS literature with a more structured view on the demand side of innovation. They critique the SIS literature for not fully incorporating user-producer interaction into the innovation process and emphasize the co-evolution of technology and its institutional embedding as essential for understanding innovation dynamics. They extend this idea to include three complementary subsystems: market formation, resource mobilization, and technology legitimation.

In the technological innovation dimension, the authors draw on the RIS, NIS, and SIS traditions to distinguish between industries dominated by science and technology-driven (STI) innovation mode and those where innovation relies more on learning by doing, using, and interacting (DUI). They discuss how different industry types exhibit varying degrees of territorial embeddedness based on the nature of knowledge production and exchange. For example, STI-based industries rely on internationalized networks for knowledge exchange, while DUI-based industries depend more on local interactions due to the importance of tacit knowledge.

The authors then introduce the product valuation dimension, which encompasses market access, financial investment, and technology legitimacy. They argue that the process of valuation is dependent on mechanisms of market formation, technology legitimation, and financial investment. They distinguish between industries with standardized valuation processes (e.g., consumer goods) and those with customized valuation processes (e.g., luxury goods), highlighting how these differences influence innovation dynamics and spatial configurations within GIS.

Based on these dimensions, the authors propose a typology of four generic GIS configurations: Market-anchored GIS, Footloose GIS, DUI mode Spatially sticky GIS, and Production-anchored GIS. Each configuration represents a different combination of innovation and valuation characteristics, leading to distinct spatial configurations within GIS.

To illustrate their framework, the authors provide empirical examples from emerging clean-tech industries, such as solar photovoltaics, wind power, carbon capture and storage (CCS), and electric cars. They demonstrate how the GIS configurations of these industries align with the proposed typology, highlighting the role of territorial embeddedness, knowledge spillovers, and international networks in shaping innovation dynamics.

In conclusion, the authors argue that their industry-sensitive perspective on GIS evolution provides valuable insights into the spatial configurations of innovation systems. They suggest that understanding the interplay

between technology, industry characteristics, and institutional contexts is essential for designing effective innovation policies and fostering sustainable economic development.

Overall, the authors provide a thorough analysis of their research findings, linking them with existing literature and proposing new conceptual frameworks to understand GIS evolution. They acknowledge the limitations of their study, such as the complexity of industry dynamics and the need for further empirical research to validate their framework. However, they offer valuable insights into the spatial dimensions of innovation processes and propose actionable recommendations for policymakers and industry stakeholders.

Moreover, the authors identify several limitations of their study. One limitation is the generalization of findings across different industries, as industry characteristics may vary significantly. Additionally, the framework proposed in the study may not capture all possible GIS configurations, and further refinement may be necessary. Furthermore, the authors acknowledge the dynamic nature of industries and the need for ongoing monitoring and adaptation of GIS configurations over time. Despite these limitations, the study provides a valuable contribution to the understanding of GIS evolution and offers a foundation for future research in this area.

PRECIS

SUMMARY-INNOVATION SYSTEMS

This study provides a unique analytical framework for examining innovation in technological processes that occur across national borders and in international contexts. According to the authors' argument, most theories and policy proposals regarding innovation systems have adopted a national or regional perspective, so lacking to take into account the growing globalisation of innovation efforts across businesses and industries.

To address this flaw, the authors draw on fresh insights in the theory of global innovation as well as information from the literature on innovation systems. Their approach is multi-scale, perceiving innovation systems as spanning multiple spatial scales, from small-scale local economies and areas to a large-scale globally interconnected system.

Based on this multi-scalar GIS ideas, the authors propose a typology of four typical configurations that a GIS can have. Two main characteristics set these four groups apart: the form of innovation that occurs (supplier-dominated versus science-based innovation, for example) and the guiding concept of product valuation in a particular market (cost versus unique features).

In a supplier-dominated, cost-based business like automobile production as opposed to a science-based, competitive market like pharmaceutical, a GIS, for instance, would be very different.

To demonstrate the analytical worth of their method, the authors examine four emerging clean technology industries: bioethanol, solar energy, wind power, and electric vehicles. Based on qualitative data, they depict how creative activities in various sectors are organised across globally dispersed subsystems of knowledge creation, markets, manufacturing, etc., and how the couplings between these subsystems form an overall GIS.

The authors argue that implementing a thorough GIS methodology is necessary for two reasons: First off, it enables scholars who study innovation systems to develop more thorough justifications for the intricate geographic dynamics present to modern invention processes.

Theoretical work to date has focused on national or subnational scales.

Developing innovation policies and interventions that are more suited to the globalised structure of invention across industries can also be helped by a Geographic Information System (GIS) perspective. More specifically, it can guide global innovation assistance through international coordination policies related to laws, finance, labour transfers, intellectual property, and other areas.

In summary, this work provides a novel multi-scalar model—a conceptual contribution—for the analysis of globally linked but spatially scattered innovation systems. The increasing globalisation of innovation

processes across industries highlights the relevance of this kind of approach to policy. The clean-tech business examples highlight the analytical viewpoint that a GIS offers.

In conclusion, in order to effectively support innovation and industrial development in an increasingly globalized context, governance techniques and institutions must be adapted to the unique characteristics of various GIS arrangements.

Overall, although GIS are mostly natural events that are difficult to actively plan or manage top-down, there are many of chances for future studies to create justifications for strategies that better take advantage of the connections that exist across the world in the innovation process.

PPT WORK

Analysis of Conclusion

1. Interpretation of Results:

- The authors emphasize the need for an integrative view in understanding global innovation systems (GIS), highlighting the role of multi-scalar networks and industry-specific innovation processes

2. Link to Research Question:

- The conclusion directly addresses the research question by discussing how the spatial configuration of GIS differs between industries with different innovation modes and valuation processes.

3. Identification of Limitations:

- The authors acknowledge the need for further research to address methodological challenges and to understand how innovation processes unfold over time and space.

4. Missed Points:

- While the conclusion outlines policy implications and proposes governance approaches, it could delve deeper into the specific challenges of implementing these policies and the potential obstacles to their effectiveness.



Future work, Next Steps, and Agreement

1. Proposed Next Steps:

- The authors suggest decomposing GIS analysis into different value chain segments and investigating how innovation dynamics intersect industrial and sectorial boundaries. Additionally, they propose addressing methodological challenges and developing policy rationales reflective of global interdependencies.

2. Agreement:

- Agree with the proposed next steps as they offer a comprehensive approach to understanding GIS dynamics and provide actionable insights for policymakers and researchers.

3. Further Commentary:

- Emphasize the importance of addressing the challenges associated with implementing proposed policies and the need for interdisciplinary collaboration to effectively study GIS evolution.

