

Student name: Nejma Begum

Student ID: 2419975

Lecturer Name: Dr Mark Gallagher-Read

Module Code: BMHP5016

Module Name: Principles of Health and Social Care Practice

Contents

Introduction	2
Definition of person centred care	2
Benefits of Person Centred Care.....	3
Limitations of Person Centred Care	3
Critical Examination of a Theory Underpinning Person-Centred Care.....	4
Analysis of the Ethical Fundamentals of Health and Social Care	5
Evaluation of Partnership Working in Health and Social Care.....	6
Application of Partnership Working in an NHS Hospital Settings	7
Relevant Policy and Legislation Relating to Person-Centred Care and Partnership Working	7
Suggestions for the Future of Person-centred Care and Collaboration Working in the United Kingdom	8
Conclusion.....	9
References	9

Introduction

Partnership working (PW) and person-centred care (PCC) are fundamental components of contemporary health and social care practice in the UK. They want to guarantee that people receive care that is courteous, well-coordinated, and customised to meet their specific requirements, preferences, and values. Person-centred care takes into account a person's emotional, social, and cultural requirements as well as their sickness or handicap. In order to get the greatest results, partnership working encourages professionals, organisations, service users, and families to collaborate.

In this report, person-centred care will be defined, its primary advantages and disadvantages will be discussed, Carl Rogers' Person-Centred Theory, which serves as the foundation for PCC, will be critically examined, the ethical principles guiding health and social care practice will be examined, partnership working will be assessed, and its practical application in an NHS hospital setting will be examined. Before offering suggestions for enhancing person-centred care and partnership cooperation going forward, the paper will also address pertinent UK laws and regulations.

Definition of person centred care

A strategy known as "person-centred care" puts the person at the centre of choices for their own health and well-being. Service users are encouraged to actively participate in the planning, management, and evaluation of their care rather than having experts make choices on their behalf. This method acknowledges that each person has unique experiences, beliefs, and preferences that ought to be honoured.

Person-centred care, according to the Health Foundation (2016), helps individuals acquire the information, abilities, and self-assurance necessary to take charge of their own health. In a similar vein, NICE (2021) clarifies that quality care should respect individuals' desires while including them in all decision-making processes.

Benefits of Person Centred Care

There are several benefits to person-centred care for both patients and medical staff.

First of all, it facilitates better patient-provider communication. People are more inclined to trust professionals and voice their concerns openly when they feel appreciated and heard.

Second, greater health results are frequently achieved when individuals are involved in decision-making processes. In general, people who are aware of their treatment plans are more likely to heed medical recommendations and show up for visits.

Increased respect and dignity are significant additional advantages. Instead than being viewed as merely a patient with a medical issue, each person is treated as an individual.

By granting patients more autonomy and control over their own treatment, person-centred care may also improve emotional wellness and raise patient satisfaction.

Limitations of Person Centred Care

Person-centred care offers numerous advantages, but there are drawbacks as well.

Healthcare workers' limited time is one drawback. It might be challenging to devote enough time to comprehending each person's unique demands due to staff shortages and growing workloads.

Barriers to communication may also lessen person-centred care's efficacy. Shared decision-making may be more difficult for certain people who have dementia, learning difficulties, or language challenges.

The NHS's resource constraints may also have an impact on how well person-centred care is provided. Access to specialised services or individualised help may be hampered by financial constraints.

Lastly, person-centred care must continue to be adaptable rather than using the same strategy for every patient because some may want medical personnel to make decisions on their behalf.

Critical Examination of a Theory Underpinning Person-Centred Care

Carl Rogers' Person-Centred Theory is one of the most important theories supporting person-centred care. According to Rogers (1961), everyone should be treated with dignity and respect, and with the correct assistance, people are capable of making decisions about their own life. Rogers' theory was first designed for counselling, but its ideas are now extensively applied in social and health care.

According to Rogers, authenticity, empathy, and unconditional positive regard are the three fundamental elements that foster healthy relationships. In order to be empathetic, healthcare providers should make an effort to comprehend the feelings of others and view circumstances from their point of view. Treating every person with respect, regardless of their history, beliefs, or state of health, is known as unconditional positive regard. When professionals communicate with service users, genuineness is defined as being truthful, transparent, and reliable (Rogers, 1961).

The fact that Rogers' theory promotes medical personnel to develop trustworthy connections with patients is one of its key advantages. People are more inclined to disclose critical health information and collaborate with doctors to make decisions regarding their treatment when they feel valued and heard. Better health outcomes and increased patient satisfaction can result from this. For instance, if nurses take the time to explain treatment alternatives and include patients in the planning of their care, a patient with diabetes may feel more secure controlling their disease.

The fact that Rogers' method encourages independence is another of its advantages. Individuals are encouraged to voice their views and, where feasible, take charge of their own health rather than having specialists make decisions on their behalf. By acknowledging that everyone has unique beliefs, aspirations, and life experiences, this upholds the tenets of person-centred care.

Rogers' idea does have several drawbacks, though. Due to personnel shortages and rising patient numbers, healthcare workers sometimes have limited time in congested NHS settings. It takes time to develop solid therapy partnerships, which isn't always possible. Because of this, practitioners could find it difficult to properly implement Rogers' method.

Furthermore, not all patients have the capacity or want to make decisions regarding their own treatment. For instance, people with serious mental illness, advanced dementia, or diminished mental competence could need family members or specialists to make decisions that are in their best interests. Person-centred care must be modified in these circumstances while maintaining the highest level of regard for the person's desires and dignity.

Despite these obstacles, Rogers' theory still has an impact on contemporary health and social care because it pushes practitioners to treat patients as unique persons rather than just their physical condition. All things considered, the idea offers a solid basis for person-centred care by encouraging dialogue, trust, and collaborative decision-making while acknowledging the significance of honouring each person's particular needs.

Analysis of the Ethical Fundamentals of Health and Social Care

The moral precepts known as ethics serve as a guidance for healthcare practitioners when they make choices regarding the treatment they offer. People are treated fairly, safely, and with respect when ethical behaviour is practiced. Autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice are the four primary ethical precepts that are frequently used in the health and social care sectors (Beauchamp and Childress, 2019).

Respecting an individual's autonomy entails letting them make decisions concerning their own care. Because it enables people to communicate their preferences and make educated treatment decisions, this concept is strongly related to person-centred care. In order for patients to make decisions based on a thorough comprehension of their alternatives, healthcare providers should give clear information.

Behaving in each person's best interests is what is meant by beneficence. It is the responsibility of professionals to treat patients in a way that enhances their health and wellness. For instance, encouraging physiotherapy after surgery would uphold the beneficence principle as it promotes healing.

Avoiding injury is a sign of non-maleficence. Healthcare practitioners should make sure that therapies are safe and that the advantages exceed any potential hazards. Reducing preventable mistakes, upholding safe settings, and adhering to evidence-based practice are other aspects of this approach.

In the context of healthcare, justice refers to equity and equality. All people should have equal access to services, regardless of their age, socioeconomic situation, race, religion, or handicap. This idea is upheld by the Equality Act of 2010, which promotes equal chances and shields people from discrimination.

Confidentiality is also another crucial ethical obligation. Healthcare providers are obligated to safeguard patient data and only divulge it when needed by law or in order to provide care. In

addition to guaranteeing adherence to data protection laws, maintaining secrecy fosters confidence between experts and clients.

These moral precepts offer direction, yet they can clash with one another. For instance, a patient may decline life-saving care even when medical experts think it would be beneficial. Healthcare providers must carefully strike a balance in these circumstances between their need to provide safe and effective treatment and respecting the patient's autonomy. When people are unable of making their own decisions, the Mental Capacity Act of 2005 offers assistance.

In general, professional practice in the fields of health and social care is based on ethical values. By guaranteeing that people are treated fairly, with dignity, and with respect, they promote safe, compassionate, and high-quality care, which supports person-centred care.

Evaluation of Partnership Working in Health and Social Care

Because it allows experts and organisations to collaborate in order to address the needs of individuals, partnership functioning is a crucial component of health and social care. In order to deliver coordinated, person-centred care, social workers, medical experts, patients, and families collaborate to share information and make choices (NHS England, 2024).

Improved continuity of care is a major advantage of working in partnerships. When patients are transferring between facilities like hospitals, general practitioners' offices, and community care, it is especially important for professionals to communicate effectively in order to guarantee that they receive continuous assistance. By enabling experts from various backgrounds to share their expertise, it also enhances decision-making.

By lowering service duplication and increasing efficiency, partnership cooperation can also improve resource utilisation.

There are difficulties, though. Information sharing can be hampered by poor communication, disparate computer systems, and conflicting professional agendas. When exchanging patient information, confidentiality must also be upheld by adhering to the Data Protection Act of 2018 and the UK GDPR.

In general, partnership working promotes better results for individuals and fosters teamwork, which enhances the quality and safety of care. Effective communication and common objectives are crucial for person-centred care to be successful, despite ongoing obstacles.

Application of Partnership Working in an NHS Hospital Settings

NHS hospitals frequently employ partnership working through multidisciplinary teams (MDTs). These teams, which collaborate to plan and provide patient care, comprise physicians, nurses, occupational therapists, physiotherapists, social workers, and other specialists.

For instance, a stroke patient may get care from physicians and nurses, physical therapists for rehabilitation, occupational therapists for support, and social workers for discharge planning. To make sure the patient's requirements and preferences are taken into account, family members may also be involved. By include the patient in decision-making wherever feasible, this exemplifies person-centred care.

Regular communication between professionals helps ensure care is coordinated, reduces the risk of errors and supports safer patient outcomes. However, challenges such as staff shortages, heavy workloads and communication difficulties can affect effective partnership working.

Overall, multidisciplinary working within NHS hospitals shows how partnership working improves the quality of care by promoting teamwork, communication and person-centred decision-making.

Relevant Policy and Legislation Relating to Person-Centred Care and Partnership Working

Partnership working and person-centred care are supported by several UK laws and regulations.

The Care Act of 2014 encourages people's independence, well-being, and participation in care decisions. Additionally, it promotes collaboration between social care and health groups to address people's needs.

Integrated Care Systems (ICSs) were created by the Health and Care Act 2022 to facilitate closer collaboration between NHS organisations, local authorities, and other providers to enhance services.

People who might not be able to make their own decisions are protected under the Mental Capacity Act of 2005. It stipulates that choices made on their behalf must be in their best interests and, whenever feasible, take their desires into account.

While the Human Rights Act of 1998 upholds people's rights to respect, decency, and privacy, the Equality Act of 2010 protects people from discrimination and encourages equitable access to health and social care services.

Additionally, national guidelines encourage best practices. NICE offers evidence-based recommendations to raise the level of treatment, while the treatment Quality Commission (CQC) oversees health and social care facilities to make sure they adhere to regulations.

The legal basis for providing safe, efficient, and person-centred care through partnership functioning is provided by these laws and policies taken together.

Suggestions for the Future of Person-centred Care and Collaboration Working in the United Kingdom

In the future, person-centred care and collaboration might be enhanced in several ways.

First and foremost, to assist them in including patients in treatment decisions, healthcare personnel must undergo frequent training in communication and person-centred care. Second, better digital systems would provide better information sharing between hospitals, general practitioners' offices, and social care providers, cutting down on delays and enhancing continuity of treatment.

Professionals would have more time to work closely with colleagues and provide individualised care if there were more staff members. Lastly, in order to pinpoint opportunities for development and guarantee that services fulfil the demands of those utilising them, companies should keep gathering input from patients and employees.

By strengthening person-centred care and collaborative efforts, these enhancements would contribute to safer and more efficient healthcare.

Conclusion

The significance of person-centred care and collaboration in health and social care has been discussed in this paper. The main goals of person-centred care are to treat people with respect and dignity and to include them in choices about their care. Despite obstacles including personnel shortages and resource constraints, it is nevertheless a crucial strategy for enhancing patient outcomes.

Carl Rogers' Person-Centred Theory, ethical precepts, collaboration, and pertinent UK laws, such as the Health and Care Act of 2022 and the Care Act of 2014, were also covered in the paper. When combined, these facilitate the provision of coordinated, safe, and effective care.

In general, raising the standard of health and social care services requires collaborative cooperation and person-centred care. People will receive high-quality, individualised care if personnel, communication, and teamwork are continuously invested in.

References

- Beauchamp, T.L. and Childress, J.F. (2019) Principles of Biomedical Ethics. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Care Act 2014. (2014) Care Act 2014. London: The Stationery Office.
- Care Quality Commission (2023) Fundamental Standards. Newcastle upon Tyne: Care Quality Commission.
- Department of Health and Social Care (2022) Health and Care Act 2022. London: DHSC.
- Equality Act 2010. (2010) Equality Act 2010. London: The Stationery Office.
- Health Foundation (2016) Person-Centred Care Made Simple. London: The Health Foundation.
- Human Rights Act 1998. (1998) Human Rights Act 1998. London: The Stationery Office.
- Mental Capacity Act 2005. (2005) Mental Capacity Act 2005. London: The Stationery Office.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (2021) Shared Decision Making London: NICE.
- NHS England (2024) Comprehensive Model for Personalised Care. London: NHS England.
- Rogers, C.R. (1961) On Becoming a Person. Houghton Mifflin.
- Skills for Care (2023) Supporting Person-Centred Care. Leeds: Skills for Care.

