

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Dale Scott Griffiths
Title of Project:	Building a secure home network.
Supervisor:	Dr Carlene Campbell
2nd marker:	Dr Caroline Radtke-Horshorn.
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS <u>CNets</u> CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review <u>Experiment</u> Development (please circle)

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> more background research, explanation of testing and analysis of results need.	55%
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u> Agreed.	57%

Signature: Date: 10/5/2018

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	2 /5	/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	8/20	/15

Comments:

Intro is bit vague. Need to be more clear on what ~~the~~ is the background and why it is important to do the project. Aim & objectives were clearly mentioned.

Lit. review covered basis of security & various attacks but not focussed on areas related to the project.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	2/5	/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	/5

Comments:

Genetic methodology discussed not specific to the project.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	14/25	/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	6/10	/10

Comments:

Results shows ~~for~~ successful tests and experiment ~~and~~ carried out on three attacks produced results as expected.

Good conclusion and recommendation based on the experimental results.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	/15
Experimental Design	-	-	10/15	-

Comments:

Good practical implementation. A justification on why these attacks were carried out would have added strength.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	6 /10	/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	7 /10	/10
Comments: Good reflection, Good use of small chart to show how the project went. Good quality report, followed good structure and format.				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	55 /100	/100